

DEFORMATIONS OF TRIANGULINE B -PAIRS.

KENTARO NAKAMURA

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is to study deformation theory of trianguline B -pairs for any p -adic field. For benign B -pairs, a special good class of trianguline B -pairs, we prove a main theorem concerning tangent spaces of these deformation spaces. These are generalizations of Bellaïche-Chenevier's and Chenevier's works in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, where they used (φ, Γ) -modules over Robba ring instead of using B -pairs. The main theorem, the author hopes, will play crucial roles in some problems of Zariski density of modular points or of crystalline points in some deformation spaces of global or local p -adic Galois representations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction.	1
2. B -pairs.	5
3. Deformations of trianguline B -pairs	11
4. Deformations of benign B -pairs	20
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. Background. Let p be a prime number and K be a p -adic field, i.e. finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Trianguline representations, which form a class of p -adic representations of $G_K := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$, play some crucial roles in the study of p -adic family of global or local Galois representations. The aim of this article is, for any p -adic field K , to develop the deformation theory of trianguline representations by using B -pairs.

In the study of p -adic local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, in [Co08], Colmez defined the notion of trianguline representations, which is a class of p -adic representations of $G_K := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ and is defined by using Fontaine, Kedlaya's theory of (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring. Since his work, when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, trianguline representations and their deformation theoretic properties have turned out to be very important in number theory, especially in the study of p -adic local

2008 Mathematical Subject Classification 11F80 (primary), 11F85, 11S25 (secondary). Keywords: p -adic Hodge theory, trianguline representations, B -pairs.

Langlands correspondence by Colmez ([Co09]), or in the study of p -adic families of p -adic modular forms (more precisely, in the study of eigenvarieties), for example, by Kisin ([Ki03]), by Bellaïche-Chenevier ([Bel-Ch]) and by Chenevier ([Ch08],[Ch09]).

For any p -adic field $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ case, in [Na09], the author of this article generalized many results in [Co08] for any p -adic fields. Namely, he studied some fundamental properties of trianguline representations and then classified two dimensional trianguline representations. In [Na09], we studied trianguline representations by using B -pairs, which was defined by Berger in [Be08], instead of using (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring. The aim of this article is, by using the results in [Na09] and by using the theory of B -pairs, to generalize many results in [Bel-Ch], [Ch08], [Ch09] concerning to deformations of trianguline representations to any p -adic fields. We develop the deformation theory of trianguline representations (more generally, trianguline B -pairs) and generalize Chenevier's theorem in [Ch09] concerning tangent spaces of these deformation rings to any p -adic fields.

When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, these results are crucial for p -adic Hodge theoretic study of eigenvarieties, especially for problems about Zariski density of modular Galois representations (or crystalline representations) in deformation spaces of global (or local) p -adic representations ([Ch08],[Ch09],[Co08],[Ki08b]). In the next article, by using the results of this article (and by generalizing some results in [Ki03] to any p -adic fields), the author will prove Zariski density of crystalline representations in deformation spaces of two dimensional p -adic representations for any p -adic fields.

1.2. Overview. We explain details of this article.

In § 2, we recall the definition of B -pairs and study some fundamental properties of trianguline B -pairs. Let E be a suitable finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ as in Notation below. In § 2.1, we recall the definition of E - B -pairs of G_K , which is the E -coefficient version of B -pairs. We write $B_e := B_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi=1}$. An E - B -pair is a pair $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ where W_e is a finite free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module with a continuous semilinear G_K -action such that $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \subseteq W_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ is a G_K -stable $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -lattice of W_{dR} . The category of E -representations of G_K is embedded in the category of E - B -pairs by $V \mapsto W(V) := (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V, B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$. We say that an E - B -pair is split trianguline if W is a successive extension of rank one E - B -pairs, i.e. W has a filtration $0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ such that W_i is a saturated sub E - B -pair of W and W_i/W_{i-1} is a rank one E - B -pair for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that W is trianguline if $W \otimes_E E'$ is a split trianguline E' - B -pair for a finite extension E' of E . We say that an E -representation V is split trianguline (resp. trianguline) if $W(V)$ is split trianguline (resp. trianguline). By these definitions, to study trianguline E - B -pairs, we first need to classify rank one E - B -pairs and then we need to calculate extension class group of them, which were studied in [Co08] for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and in [Na09] for general K . In § 2.1, we recall these results which we need to study deformations of trianguline E - B -pairs.

In § 2.2, we define the Artin ring coefficient version of B -pairs. Let $\mathcal{C}_E$ be the category of Artin local E -algebras with residue field E . For any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, we say that $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ is an A - B -pair if W_e is a finite free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module with a continuous semi-linear G_K -action and $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \subseteq W_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ is a G_K -stable $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -lattice. We generalize some results of [Na09] to A - B -pairs.

In § 3, we study two types of deformations for split trianguline E - B -pairs. In § 3.1, first we study usual deformations for any E - B -pairs which are generalizations of homomorphismistic zero deformations of p -adic Galois representations. Let W be an E - B -pair and $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$. Then we say that (W_A, ι) is a deformation of W over A if W_A is an A - B -pair and $\iota : W_A \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ is an isomorphism. Then we define the deformation functor of W , $D_W : \mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ by $D_W(A) := \{\text{equivalent classes of deformations } (W_A, \iota) \text{ of } W \text{ over } A\}$. We prove pro-representability and formally smoothness and dimension formula of D_W (Corollary 3.8). In § 3.2, we study the other type of deformations, i.e. trianguline deformations. Let W be a split trianguline E - B -pair of rank n and $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ be a fixed triangulation of W . For any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, we say that $(W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A)$ is a trianguline deformation of $(W, \mathcal{T})$ over A if (W_A, ι) is a deformation of W over A and $\mathcal{T}_A : 0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A} \subseteq W_{n,A} = W_A$ is a triangulation of W_A (i.e. $W_{i,A}$ is a saturated sub A - B -pair of W_A such that $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ is a rank one A - B -pair for any i) such that $\iota(W_{i,A} \otimes_A E) = W_i$ for any i . Then we define the trianguline deformation functor of $(W, \mathcal{T})$, $D_{W,\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{C}_E \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ by $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A) := \{\text{equivalent classes of trianguline deformations } (W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A) \text{ of } (W, \mathcal{T}) \text{ over } A\}$. We prove pro-representability and formally smoothness and dimension formula of this functor (Proposition 3.17).

In § 4, we define the notion of benign E - B -pairs, which forms a special good class of split trianguline E - B -pairs, and prove the main theorem of this article concerning to tangent spaces of the deformation rings of this class. In § 4.1, we define the notion of benign E - B -pairs. Let W be a potentially crystalline E - B -pair of rank n such that $W|_{G_L}$ is crystalline for a finite totally ramified abel extension L of K (we call such a representation a crystabelline representation). We assume that $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W) := (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e)^{G_L} = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_l} Ee_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_n$ such that $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_i$ are preserved by $(\varphi, \text{Gal}(L/K))$ -action and that $\varphi^f(e_i) = \alpha_i e_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in E^\times$, here $f := [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and K_0 is the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in K . We write $\{k_{1,\sigma}, k_{2,\sigma}, \dots, k_{n,\sigma}\}_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}}$ the Hodge-Tate weight of W such that $k_{1,\sigma} \geq k_{2,\sigma} \geq \cdots \geq k_{n,\sigma}$ for any $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the n -th permutation group. Then, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we can define a filtration on $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$ by $0 \subseteq K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \subseteq K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(2)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(n-1)} \subseteq D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$ by sub E -filtered (φ, G_K) -modules, where the filtration on $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(i)}$ is the one induced from $L \otimes_{L_0} D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$. Then, by the B -pair version of “weakly admissible = admissible” theorem, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we have a triangulation $\mathcal{T}_\tau : 0 \subseteq W_{\tau,1} \subseteq W_{\tau,2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{\tau,n} = W$ such that $W_{\tau,i}$ is potentially crystalline and $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W_{\tau,i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(i)}$

Under this condition, we define the notion of twisted benign E - B -pairs as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let W be an E - B -pair of rank n as above. Then we say that W is twisted benign if W satisfies the following;

- (1) For any $i \neq j$, we have $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \neq 1, p^f, p^{-f}$,
- (2) For any $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$, we have $k_{1,\sigma} > k_{2,\sigma} > \cdots > k_{n-1,\sigma} > k_{n,\sigma}$,
- (3) For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and i , Hodge-Tate weight of $W_{\tau,i}$ is $\{k_{1,\sigma}, k_{2,\sigma}, \dots, k_{i,\sigma}\}_{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}}$.

In § 4.2, we prove the main theorem of this article. Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n as above. Then, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we can define the trianguline deformation functor $D_{W,\tau}$. Let R_W be the universal deformation ring of D_W and $R_{W,\tau}$ be the universal deformation ring of $D_{W,\tau}$ for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then $R_{W,\tau}$ is a quotient ring of R_W . Let $t(R_W)$ and $t(R_{W,\tau})$ be the tangent spaces of R_W and $R_{W,\tau}$. Then, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $t(R_{W,\tau})$ is a sub E -vector space of $t(R_W)$. The main theorem of this article is the following (Theorem 4.21), which is a generalization of Chenevier's theorem in [Ch09] in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Then we have*

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t(R_{W,\tau}) = t(R_W).$$

This theorem is a crucial local results for applications to some Zariski density theorems of local or global p -adic representations. In fact, by using this theorem in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, Chenevier, in [Ch09], proved Zariski density of unitary automorphic Galois representations in deformation spaces of three dimensional self dual p -adic representations of G_F for any CM field F in which p splits completely. In the next article, by using this main theorem, the author will prove Zariski density of crystalline representations in deformation spaces of two dimensional p -adic representations for any p -adic fields, which is a generalization of Colmez's and Kisin's theorem ([Co08], [Ki08b]) in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. The author of this article hopes that, by using this theorem, we can prove many kinds of Zariski density theorems for general p -adic field cases or general global (CM or totally real) field cases.

Notation. Let p be a prime number. K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\bar{K}$ a fixed algebraic closure of K , K_0 the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in K , K^{nor} the Galois closure of K in $\bar{K}$. Let $G_K := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K equipped with profinite topology. $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the integer ring of K , $\pi_K \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is a uniformizer of K , $k := \mathcal{O}_K/\pi_K \mathcal{O}_K$ is the residue field of K , $q = p^f := \#k$ is the order of k , $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is the p -adic cyclotomic homomorphism (i.e. $g(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^{\chi(g)}$ for any p^n -th roots of unity and for any $g \in G_K$). Let $\mathbb{C}_p := \hat{\bar{K}}$ be the p -adic completion of $\bar{K}$, which is an algebraically closed p -adically complete field, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ is its integer ring. We denote v_p the normalized valuation on $\mathbb{C}_p^\times$

such that $v_p(p) = 1$. Let E be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $K^{\text{nor}} \subseteq E$. In this paper, we write E as a coefficient of representations. We put $\mathcal{P} := \{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \bar{K}\} = \{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow E\}$. Let $\chi_{\text{LT}} : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ be the Lubin-Tate homomorphism associated with the fixed uniformizer π_K . Let $\text{rec}_K : K^\times \rightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}$ be the reciprocity map of local class field theory such that $\text{rec}_K(\pi_K)$ is a lifting of the inverse of q -th power Frobenius on k , then $\chi_{\text{LT}} \circ \text{rec}_K : K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ satisfies $\chi_{\text{LT}} \circ \text{rec}_K(\pi_K) = 1$ and $\chi_{\text{LT}} \circ \text{rec}_K|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Kenichi Bannai for reading the first version of this article and for helpful comments. The author is supported by JSPS Core-to-Core program 18005 whose representative is Makoto Matsumoto. This work is also supported in part by KAKENHI (21674001).

2. B -PAIRS.

2.1. B -pairs. In this subsection, first we recall the definition of E - B -pairs ([Be08], [Na09]) and then recall fundamental properties of them established in [Na09]. First, we recall some p -adic period rings ([Fo94]) which we need for defining B -pairs. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, where the limits are taken with respect to p -th power maps. It is known that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ is a complete valuation ring of homomorphismistic p whose valuation is defined by $\text{val}(x) := v_p(x^{(0)})$ (here $x = (x^{(n)}) \in \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$). We fix a system of p^n -th roots of unity $\{\varepsilon^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\varepsilon^{(0)} = 1$, $(\varepsilon^{(n+1)})^p = \varepsilon^{(n)}$, $\varepsilon^{(1)} \neq 1$. Then $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon^{(n)})$ is an element of $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ such that $\text{val}(\varepsilon - 1) = p/(p-1)$. G_K acts on this ring in natural way. We put $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+ := W(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+)$, where, for a perfect ring R , $W(R)$ is the Witt ring of R . We put $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ := \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+[\frac{1}{p}]$. This ring also has natural G_K -action and Frobenius action φ . Then we have a G_K -equivariant surjection $\theta : \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} : \sum_{k=0}^\infty p^k[x_k] \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^\infty p^k x_k^{(0)}$, where $[\] : \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+$ is the Teichmüller homomorphism. By inverting p , we get a surjection $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. We put $B_{\text{dR}}^+ := \varprojlim_n \tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ / (\text{Ker}(\theta))^n$, which is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $\mathbb{C}_p$ and is equipped with the projective limit topology of the p -adic topology on $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ / (\text{Ker}(\theta))^n$ whose lattice is the image of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{B}}^+ / (\text{Ker}(\theta))^n$. Let A_{cris} be the p -adic completion of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^+[\frac{(\tilde{p}-p)^n}{n!}]_{n \geq 1}$, where $\tilde{p} := (p^{(n)})$ is an element in $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^+$ such that $p^{(0)} = p$, $(p^{(n+1)})^p = p^{(n)}$. We put $B_{\text{cris}}^+ := A_{\text{cris}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ equipped with p -adic topology whose lattice is A_{cris} . A_{cris} and B_{cris}^+ have G_K -actions and Frobenius actions φ . We have a natural G_K -equivariant embedding $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{cris}}^+ \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+$. If we put $t := \log([\varepsilon]) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \frac{([\varepsilon]-1)^n}{n}$, then we can see that $t \in A_{\text{cris}}$, $\varphi(t) = pt$, $g(t) = \chi(g)t$ for any $g \in G_K$ and $\text{Ker}(\theta) = tB_{\text{dR}}^+ \subset B_{\text{dR}}^+$ is the maximal ideal of B_{dR}^+ . If we put $B_{\text{cris}} := B_{\text{cris}}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$, $B_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$, we have a natural embedding $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{cris}} \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$. We put $B_e := B_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi=1}$ on which we equipped the inductive limit topology of $B_e = \cup_n (\frac{1}{t^n} B_{\text{cris}}^+)^{\varphi=1}$, where each

$(\frac{1}{t^n} B_{\text{cris}}^+)^{\varphi=1} = \frac{1}{t^n} B_{\text{cris}}^{+\varphi=p^n}$ is equipped with p -adic topology. We put $\text{Fil}^i B_{\text{dR}} := t^i B_{\text{dR}}^+$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this paper, we fix a coefficient field of p -adic representations or B -pairs of G_K . So we start in this subsection by recalling an E -coefficient version of p -adic representations and B -pairs.

Definition 2.1. An E -representation of G_K is a finite dimensional E -vector space V with a continuous E -linear action of G_K . We call E -representation for simplicity when there is no risk of confusion about K .

Definition 2.2. A pair $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ is an E - B -pair if

- (1) W_e is a finite $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module which is free over B_e with a continuous semi-linear G_K -action.
- (2) W_{dR}^+ is a G_K -stable finite sub $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ which generates $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ as a B_{dR} -module.

Then, by Lemma 1.7, 1.8 of [Na09], W_e is a free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module and W_{dR}^+ is a free $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module. We define the rank of W by $\text{rank}(W) := \text{rank}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e)$. For E - B -pairs $W_1 := (W_{1,e}, W_{1,\text{dR}}^+)$ and $W_2 := (W_{2,e}, W_{2,\text{dR}}^+)$, we define the tensor product of W_1 and W_2 by $W_1 \otimes W_2 := (W_{1,e} \otimes_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W_{2,e}, W_{1,\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W_{2,\text{dR}}^+)$ and define the dual of W_1 by $W_1^\vee := (\text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{1,e}, B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E), \{f \in \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_{1,e}, B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) | f(W_{1,\text{dR}}^+) \subseteq B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E\})$ (remark: there is a mistake in the Definition 1.9 of [Na09]). The category of E - B -pairs is not an abelian category, i.e. an inclusion $W_1 \hookrightarrow W_2$ in general does not have a quotient in the category of E - B -pairs. But we can always take the saturation $W_1^{\text{sat}} := (W_{1,e}^{\text{sat}}, W_{1,\text{dR}}^{+, \text{sat}})$ such that W_1^{sat} sits in $W_1 \hookrightarrow W_1^{\text{sat}} \hookrightarrow W_2$ and $W_{1,e} = W_{1,e}^{\text{sat}}$ and $W_{2,\text{dR}}^+ / W_{1,\text{dR}}^{+, \text{sat}}$ is an E - B -pair (Lemma 1.14 of [Na09]). We say that an inclusion $W_1 \hookrightarrow W_2$ is saturated if W_2 / W_1 is an E - B -pair.

Next, we recall p -adic Hodge theory for B -pairs. Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair. We define $D_{\text{cris}}(W) := (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e)^{G_K}$, $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W) := (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e)^{G_L}$ for any finite extension L of K , $D_{\text{dR}}(W) := (B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e)^{G_K}$, $D_{\text{HT}}(W) := (B_{\text{HT}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} (W_{\text{dR}}^+ / tW_{\text{dR}}^+))^{G_K}$, here $B_{\text{HT}} := \mathbb{C}_p[T, T^{-1}]$ where G_K acts by $g(aT^i) := \chi(g)^i g(a)T^i$ for any $g \in G_K, a \in \mathbb{C}_p, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.3. We say that W is crystalline (resp. de Rham, resp. Hodge-Tate) if $\dim_{K_*} D_*(W) = [E : \mathbb{Q}_p] \text{rank}(W)$ for $*$ = cris (resp. $*$ = dR, resp. $*$ = HT), where $K_* = K_0$ when $*$ = cris and $K_* = K$ when $*$ = dR, HT. We say that W is potentially crystalline if $\dim_{L_0} (D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)) = [E : \mathbb{Q}_p] \text{rank}(W)$ for a finite extension L of K , where L_0 is the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in L .

Definition 2.4. Let L be a finite Galois extension of K and $G_{L/K} := \text{Gal}(L/K)$. We say that D is an E -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -module over K if

- (1) D is a finite free $L_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module with a φ -semi-linear action φ_D and a semi-linear action of $G_{L/K}$ such that $\varphi_D : D \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ is an isomorphism

and that φ_D and $G_{L/K}$ -action commute, where (φ) -semi-linear means that $\varphi_D(a \otimes b \cdot x) = \varphi(a) \otimes b \cdot \varphi_D(x)$, $g(a \otimes b \cdot x) = g(a) \otimes b \cdot g(x)$ for any $a \in L_0, b \in E, x \in D, g \in G_{L/K}$.

- (2) $D_L := L \otimes_{L_0} D$ has a separated and exhausted decreasing filtration $\text{Fil}^i D_L$ by sub $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -modules such that $G_{L/K}$ -action on D_L preserves this filtration.

Let W be a potentially crystalline E - B -pair such that $W|_{G_L}$ is crystalline for a finite Galois extension L of K . Then we define an E -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -module structure on $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$ as follows. First, $D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$ has a Frobenius action induced from that on B_{cris} and has a $G_{L/K}$ -action induced from those on B_{cris} and W_e . We define a filtration on $L \otimes_{L_0} D_{\text{cris}}^L(W) = L \otimes_K D_{\text{dR}}(W)$ by $\text{Fil}^i(L \otimes_{L_0} D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)) := (L \otimes_K D_{\text{dR}}(W)) \cap t^i W_{\text{dR}}^+$.

Let $D := L_0 e$ be a rank one $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -module with a base e . then we define $t_N(D) := v_p(\alpha)$ where $\varphi_D(e) = \alpha \cdot e$ and define $t_H(D) := i$ such that $\text{Fil}^i D_L / \text{Fil}^{i+1} D_L \neq 0$. For general D of rank d , we define $t_N(D) := t_N(\wedge^d D)$, $t_H(D) := t_H(\wedge^d D)$. Then we say that D is weakly admissible if $t_N(D) = t_H(D)$ and $t_N(D') \geq t_H(D')$ for any sub $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -module D' of D .

Theorem 2.5. *Let L be a finite Galois extension of K . Then we have the following results.*

- (1) *The functor $W \mapsto D_{\text{cris}}^L(W)$ gives an equivalence of categories between the category of potentially crystalline E - B -pairs which are crystalline if restricted to G_L and the category of E -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -modules over K .*
- (2) *By restricting the above functor to E -representations, the functor $V \mapsto D_{\text{cris}}^L(V)$ gives an equivalence of categories between the category of potentially crystalline E -representations which are crystalline if restricted to G_L and the category of weakly admissible E -filtered $(\varphi, G_{L/K})$ -modules over K .*

Proof. See [Be08, Proposition 2.3.4 and Theorem 2.3.5] or [Na09 Theorem 1.18]. $\square$

Next, we define trianguline E - B -pairs, whose deformation theory we study in detail in this article.

Definition 2.6. Let W be an E - B -pair of rank n . Then we say that W is split trianguline if there exists a filtration $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_n = W$ by sub E - B -pairs such that W_i is saturated in W_{i+1} and W_{i+1}/W_i is a rank one E - B -pair for any i . We say that W is trianguline if $W \otimes_E E'$, base change of W to E' , is a split trianguline E' - B -pair for a finite extension E' of E .

By this definition, for studying split trianguline E - B -pairs, it is important to classify rank one E - B -pairs and calculate extension classes by rank one objects, which were studied in the previous article by the author ([Na09]). Now, we recall some results concerning these.

Theorem 2.7. *There exists canonical one to one correspondence $\delta \mapsto W(\delta)$ between the set of continuous homomorphisms $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ and the set of isomorphism classes of rank one E - B -pairs.*

Proof. See Proposition 3.1 of [Co08] for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and Theorem 1.45 of [Na09] for general K . For construction of $W(\delta)$, see §1.4 of [Na09]. $\square$

This correspondence is compatible with local class field theory, i.e. for a unitary homomorphism $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ if we take the $\tilde{\delta} : G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ satisfying $\tilde{\delta} \circ \text{rec}_K = \delta$, then we have $W(\delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(E(\tilde{\delta}))$. This correspondence is also compatible with tensor products and with duals, i.e for homomorphisms $\delta_1, \delta_2 : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$, we have $W(\delta_1) \otimes W(\delta_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_1 \delta_2)$ and $W(\delta_1)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_1^{-1})$. There are important examples of rank one E - B -pairs which we recall now. For any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$ such that $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define a homomorphism $\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma} : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times : y \mapsto \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(y)^{k_\sigma}$. Then we have $W(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}) = (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E, \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} t^{k_\sigma} B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{K, \sigma} E)$ (Lemma 2.12 of [Na09]). Let $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p} : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ be the norm map and $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \hookrightarrow E^\times$ be the p -adic absolute value such that $|p| = \frac{1}{p}$, and we define $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)| : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ the composite of $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $|\cdot|$. Then we have $W(|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)| \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)) = W(E(\chi))$, which is the E - B -pair associated to p -adic cyclotomic homomorphism. These homomorphisms play special roles in the calculation of Galois cohomology of E - B -pairs. Next, we recall the definition and some properties of Galois cohomology of E - B -pairs. For an E - B -pair $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$, we put $W_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$. We have natural inclusions, $W_e \hookrightarrow W_{\text{dR}}$, $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \hookrightarrow W_{\text{dR}}$. Then we define the Galois cohomology $H^i(G_K, W)$ of W to be the Galois cohomology of the complex $W_e \oplus W_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}} : (x, y) \mapsto x + y$ (see §2.1 of [Na09] for the precise definition). Then as in the usual p -adic representation case, we have $H^0(G_K, W) = \text{Hom}_{G_K}(B_E, W)$ and $H^1(G_K, W) = \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$, where $B_E := (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E, B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ is the trivial E - B -pair and $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(-, -)$ is the group of homomorphisms of E - B -pairs and $\text{Ext}^1(-, -)$ is the extension class group in the category of E - B -pairs. If V is an E -representation of G_K , then we have $H^i(G_K, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(G_K, W(V))$, which follows from Bloch-Kato's fundamental short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow B_e \oplus B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have the following theorem, Euler-Poincaré homomorphismistic formula and Tate duality theorem for B -pairs.

Theorem 2.8. *Let W be an E - B -pair. Then:*

- (1) *for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $H^i(G_K, W)$ is finite dimensional over E and $H^i(G_K, W) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 1, 2$.*
- (2) $\sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim_E H^i(G_K, W) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] \text{rank}(W)$.
- (3) *let W be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ - B -pair, Then, for any $i = 0, 1, 2$, there is a natural perfect pairing defined by cup product,*

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(G_K, W) \times H^{2-i}(G_K, W^\vee(\chi)) &\rightarrow H^2(G_K, W \otimes W^\vee(\chi)) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(G_K, W(\mathbb{Q}_p(\chi))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_p, \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is the trace map.

Proof. See Theorem 4.3, 4.7 of [Liu08] and Theorem 2.8 of [Na09]. $\square$

By using these formulae, we have the following dimension formulae for rank one E - B -pairs.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then we have:*

- (1) $H^0(G_K, W(\delta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ if $\delta = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ such that $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, and $H^0(G_K, W(\delta)) = 0$ otherwise.
- (2) $H^2(G_K, W(\delta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ if $\delta = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}| \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ such that $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, and $H^2(G_K, W(\delta)) = 0$ otherwise.
- (3) $\dim_E H^1(G_K, W(\delta)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ if $\delta = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ such that $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ or $\delta = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}| \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ such that $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\dim_E H^1(G_K, W(\delta)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ otherwise.

Proof. See Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.22 of [Co08] for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. For general K , the results may be proved by using Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.15 of [Na09] and Tate duality for B -pairs. $\square$

2.2. B -pairs over Artin local rings. Let $\mathcal{C}_E$ be the category of Artin local E -algebra A with residue field E . The morphisms of $\mathcal{C}_E$ are given by local E -algebra homomorphisms. For any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, let m_A be the maximal ideal of A . We define the A -coefficient versions of B -pairs as follows.

Definition 2.10. We call a pair $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ an A - B -pair of G_K if

- (1) W_e is a finite $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module which is flat over A and is free over B_e , with a continuous semi-linear G_K -action.
- (2) W_{dR}^+ is a finite generated sub $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module of $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ which is preserved by G_K -action and which generates $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$ as a $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module such that $W_{\text{dR}}^+/tW_{\text{dR}}^+$ is flat over A .

For an A - B -pair $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$, we put $W_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e$.

We simply call an A - B -pair if there is no risk of confusing about K .

Lemma 2.11. *Let $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an A - B -pair. Then W_e is a finite free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module and W_{dR}^+ is a finite free $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module and $W_{\text{dR}}^+/tW_{\text{dR}}^+$ is a finite free $\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module.*

Proof. First, we prove for W_e . Because the sub module $m_A W_e \subseteq W_e$ is a G_K -stable finite generated torsion free B_e -module, $m_A W_e$ is a finite free B_e -module by Lemma 2.4 of [Ke04]. Then, by Lemma 2.1.4 of [Be08], the cokernel $W_e \otimes_A E$ is also a finite free B_e -module (with an E -action). Then, by Lemma 1.7 of [Na09], $W_e \otimes_A E$ is a finite free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of some rank n . We take a $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -linear morphism $f : (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)^n \rightarrow W_e$ which is a lift of a $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -linear isomorphism

$(B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e \otimes_A E$. Then, by Nakayama's lemma, f is surjective. Because W_e is A -flat, we have $\text{Ker}(f) \otimes_A E = 0$, so $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$. So W_e is a free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module.

Next, we prove that W_{dR}^+ is a free $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module. Because W_e is a free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module, W_{dR} is a free $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module, in particular this is flat over A . Because $W_{\text{dR}}^+/tW_{\text{dR}}^+$ is a flat A -module, $W_{\text{dR}}/W_{\text{dR}}^+$ is also a flat A -module. So W_{dR}^+ is also flat over A . By the A -flatness of $W_{\text{dR}}/W_{\text{dR}}^+$, we have inclusion $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_A E \hookrightarrow W_{\text{dR}} \otimes_A E$, so $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_A E$ is a finite generated torsion free B_{dR}^+ -module, so free B_{dR}^+ -module. By using these and Lemma 1.8 of [Na09], in the same way as in the case of W_e , we can show that W_{dR}^+ is a free $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module. $\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -freeness of $W_{\text{dR}}^+/tW_{\text{dR}}^+$ follows from $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -freeness of W_{dR}^+ . $\square$

By using this lemma, we can define the rank of A - B -pair.

Definition 2.12. Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an A - B -pair. Then we define the rank of W as $\text{rank}(W) := \text{rank}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A}(W_e)$.

Definition 2.13. Let $f : A \rightarrow A'$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_E$ and $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}})$ be an A - B -pair. Then we define the base change of W to A' by $W \otimes_A A' := (W_e \otimes_A A', W_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_A A')$. By using lemma 2.11, we can easily see that this is an A' - B -pair.

Definition 2.14. Let $W_1 = (W_{e,1}, W_{\text{dR},1}^+)$, $W_2 = (W_{e,2}, W_{\text{dR},2}^+)$ be A - B -pairs. Then we define the tensor product of W_1 and W_2 by $W_1 \otimes W_2 := (W_{e,1} \otimes_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} W_{e,2}, W_{\text{dR},1}^+ \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} W_{\text{dR},2}^+)$, and define the dual of W_1 by $W_1^\vee := (\text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A}(W_{e,1}, B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A), W_{\text{dR},1}^{+, \vee})$. Here, $W_{\text{dR},1}^{+, \vee} := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A}(W_{\text{dR},1}, B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A) | f(W_{\text{dR},1}^+) \subseteq B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A\}$. By using lemma 2.11, we can easily see that these are A - B -pairs.

Next, we classify rank one A - B -pairs. Let $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then we define the rank one A - B -pair $W(\delta)$ as follows. Let $\bar{u} \in E^\times$ be the reduction of $u := \delta(\pi_K)$. We define a homomorphism $\delta_0 : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ such that $\delta_0|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$, $\delta_0(\pi_K) = u/\bar{u}$. Then, because $u/\bar{u} \in 1 + m_A$, $(u/\bar{u})^{p^n}$ converges to $1 \in A^\times$. So if we fix an isomorphism $K^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \mathbb{Z} : v\pi_K^n \mapsto (v, n)$ (here $v \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$), then δ_0 extends to $\delta'_0 : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow A^\times$. Hence, by local class field theory, there exists unique homomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_0 : G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A^\times$ such that $\delta_0 = \tilde{\delta}_0 \circ \text{rec}_K$, here $\text{rec}_K : K^\times \rightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}$ is the reciprocity map as in Notation. We define the étale rank one A - B -pair $W(A(\tilde{\delta}_0))$, which is the A - B -pair associated to the rank one A -representation $A(\tilde{\delta}_0)$. Next, we define a non-étale rank one A - B -pair by using $\bar{u} \in E^\times$. For this, first we define a rank one E -filtered φ -module $D_{\bar{u}} := K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\bar{u}}$ such that $\varphi^f(e_{\bar{u}}) := \bar{u}e_{\bar{u}}$ and $\text{Fil}^0(K \otimes_{K_0} D_{\bar{u}}) := K \otimes_{K_0} D_{\bar{u}}$, $\text{Fil}^1(K \otimes_{K_0} D_{\bar{u}}) := 0$. From this, we get the rank one crystalline E - B -pair $W(D_{\bar{u}})$ such that $D_{\text{cris}}(W(D_{\bar{u}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\bar{u}}$ which is pure of slope $\frac{v_p(\bar{u})}{f}$. By tensoring these, we

define the rank one A - B -pair $W(\delta)$ by $W(\delta) := (W(D_{\bar{u}}) \otimes_E A) \otimes W(A(\tilde{\delta}_0))$, which is pure of slope $\frac{v_p(\bar{u})}{f}$.

The following proposition is the A -coefficient version of Theorem 1.45 of [Na09].

Proposition 2.15. *This construction $\delta \mapsto W(\delta)$ does not depend on the choice of uniformizer π_K and gives a bijection between the set of continuous homomorphisms $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ and the set of isomorphism classes of rank one A - B -pairs.*

Proof. The independence of the choice of uniformizer and the injection is proved in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.45 of [Na09]. We prove the surjection. Let W be a rank one A - B -pair. Then as an E - B -pair, W is a successive extension of rank one E - B -pair $W \otimes_A E$. $W \otimes_A E$ is pure of slope $\frac{n}{fe_E}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 1.42 of [Na09]. So, by Theorem 1.6.6 of [Ke08], W is also pure of slope $\frac{n}{fe_E}$. We define the rank one E -filtered φ -module $D_{\pi_K^n} := K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\pi_K^n}$ in the same way as in $D_{\bar{u}}$. Then $W \otimes (W(D_{\pi_K^n}) \otimes_E A)^\vee$ is pure of slope zero by Lemma 1.34 of [Na09]. So there exists $\tilde{\delta}' : G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A^\times$ such that $W \otimes (W(D_{\pi_K^n}) \otimes_E A)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} W(A(\tilde{\delta}'))$. If we put $\delta' := \tilde{\delta}' \circ \text{rec}_K : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ and put $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ such that $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} := \delta'|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$ and $\delta(\pi_K) := \delta'(\pi_K)\pi_K^n$, then we have an isomorphism $W \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta)$ which can be easily seen from the construction of $W(\delta)$. □

By local class field theory, we have a canonical bijection $\delta \mapsto A(\tilde{\delta})$ from the set of unitary continuous homomorphisms from $K^\times$ to $A^\times$ (where unitary means that the reduction of the image of δ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$) to the set of isomorphism class of rank one A -representations of G_K , where $\tilde{\delta} : G_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A^\times$ is the continuous homomorphism such that $\delta = \tilde{\delta} \circ \text{rec}_K$. By the definition of $W(\delta)$ and by the above proof, it is easy to see that there exists an isomorphism $W(\delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(A(\tilde{\delta}))$ for any unitary homomorphism $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$. Moreover, it is easy to see that for any continuous homomorphisms $\delta_1, \delta_2 : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ we have isomorphisms $W(\delta_1) \otimes W(\delta_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_1 \delta_2)$ and $W(\delta_1)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_1^{-1})$.

3. DEFORMATIONS OF TRIANGULINE B -PAIRS

In this section, we develop the deformation theory of B -pairs, in particular we study trianguline deformation functors for trianguline B -pairs, which are the generalization of the deformation theory of usual p -adic Galois representations and the generalization of the theory of trianguline deformation of [Bel-Ch] in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ by using (φ, Γ) -modules. We prove the pro-representabilities and formally smoothnesses of these deformation functors and calculate their dimensions by using the calculations of Galois cohomology of B -pairs in the last section.

3.1. Deformations of E - B -pairs. In this subsection, we study the usual deformation theory for E - B -pairs, which are the generalization of the usual (homomorphismistic zero) deformation theory for E -representations.

Definition 3.1. Let A be an object in $\mathcal{C}_E$, W be an E - B -pair. Then we say that a pair (W_A, ι) is a deformation of W over A if W_A is an A - B -pair and $\iota : W_A \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ is an isomorphism of E - B -pairs. Let $(W_A, \iota), (W'_A, \iota')$ be two deformations of W over A . Then we say that (W_A, ι) and (W'_A, ι') are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $f : W_A \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_A$ of A - B -pairs which satisfies $\iota = \iota' \circ \bar{f}$, where $\bar{f} : W_A \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_A \otimes_A E$ is the reduction of f .

Definition 3.2. Let W be an E - B -pair. Then we define the deformation functor D_W from the category $\mathcal{C}_E$ to the category of sets by defining, for any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, $D_W(A) := \{ \text{equivalent classes } (W_A, \iota) \text{ of deformations of } W \text{ over } A \}$.

We simply write W_A if there is no risk of confusing about ι .

Next, we prove the pro-representability of the functor D_W under suitable conditions. For this, we recall Schlessinger's criterion for pro-representability of functors from $\mathcal{C}_E$ to the category of sets. We call a morphism $f : A' \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{C}_E$ a small extension if it is surjective and the kernel $\text{Ker}(f) = (t)$ is generated by a nonzero single element $t \in A'$ and $\text{Ker}(f) \cdot m_{A'} = 0$. $E[\varepsilon]$ is the ring of dual number over E , i.e. defined by $E[\varepsilon] := E[X]/(X^2)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let F be a functor from $\mathcal{C}_E$ to the category of sets such that $F(E)$ is a single point. For morphisms $A' \rightarrow A, A'' \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{C}_A$, consider the natural map

$$(1) F(A' \times_A A'') \rightarrow F(A') \times_{F(A)} F(A'').$$

Then F is pro-representable if and only if F satisfies properties $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4)$ below:

- (H_1) (1) is surjective if $A'' \rightarrow A$ is surjective.
- (H_2) (1) is bijective when $A = E$ and $A'' = E[\varepsilon]$.
- (H_3) $\dim_E(t_F) < \infty$ (where $t_F := F(E[\varepsilon])$ and, under the condition (H_2) , it is known that t_F has a natural E -vector space structure).
- (H_4) (1) is bijective if $A' = A''$ and $A' \rightarrow A$ is a small extension.

Proof. See [Sch68] or §18 of [Ma97]. □

By using this criterion, we prove the pro-representability of D_W .

Proposition 3.4. Let W be an E - B -pair. If $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$, i.e. W has only trivial morphism of E - B -pairs, then D_W is pro-representable by a complete noetherian local E -algebra R_W with residue field E .

For proving this proposition, we first prove some lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\text{ad}(W) := \text{Hom}(W, W)$ be the internal endomorphism of W . Then there exists an isomorphism of E -vector spaces $D_W(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$.

Proof. Let $W_{E[\varepsilon]} := (W_{E[\varepsilon],e}, W_{E[\varepsilon],dR}^+)$ be a deformation of W over $E[\varepsilon]$. From this, we define an element in $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$ as follows. Because $\varepsilon W_{E[\varepsilon],e} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e$ and

$W_{E[\varepsilon],e}/\varepsilon W_{E[\varepsilon],e} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e$ (where we put $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$), we have a natural exact sequence of $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E[G_K]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow W_e \rightarrow W_{E[\varepsilon],e} \rightarrow W_e \rightarrow 0$$

We fix an isomorphism of $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -modules $W_{E[\varepsilon],e} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e e_1 \oplus W_e e_2$ such that first factor $W_e e_1$ is equal to $\varepsilon W_{E[\varepsilon]}$ as $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E[G_K]$ -module and that the above natural projection maps the second factor $W_e e_2$ to W_e by $x e_2 \mapsto x$ for any $x \in W_e$. Then we define a continuous one cocycle $c_e : G_K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e)$ by $g(y e_2) := c_e(g)(g y) e_1 + g y e_2$ for any $g \in G_K$ and $y \in W_e$. For W_{dR}^+ , we fix an isomorphism $W_{E[\varepsilon],\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\text{dR}}^+ e_1 \oplus W_{\text{dR}}^+ e_2'$ as in the case of W_e , then we define a one cocycle $c_{\text{dR}} : G_K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}^+, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ by $g(y e_2') := c_{\text{dR}}(g)(g y) e_1 + g y e_2'$ for any $g \in G_K$ and $y \in W_{\text{dR}}^+$. Next, we define an element $c \in \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{dR}})$ as follows. By tensoring $W_{E[\varepsilon],e}$ and $W_{E[\varepsilon],\text{dR}}^+$ with B_{dR} over B_e or B_{dR}^+ , we have an isomorphism $f : W_{\text{dR}} e_1 \oplus W_{\text{dR}} e_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{E[\varepsilon],\text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\text{dR}} e_1 \oplus W_{\text{dR}} e_2'$ of $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -modules. We define $c : W_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}$ by $f(y e_2) := c(y) e_1 + y e_2'$ for any $y \in W_{\text{dR}}$. Then, by definition, the triple (c_e, c_{dR}, c) satisfies $c_e(g) - c_{\text{dR}}(g) = g c - c$ in $\text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{dR}})$ for any $g \in G_K$, i.e. the triple $(c_e, c_{\text{dR}}, c) \in H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$ by the definition of Galois cohomology of B -pairs (§ 2.1 of [Na09]). Then it is standard to check that this definition is independent of the choice of fixed isomorphism $W_{E[\varepsilon],e} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e e_1 \oplus W_e e_2$, etc, and it is easy to check that this map defines an isomorphism $D_W(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$.

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let W_A be a deformation of W over A . If $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$, then $\text{End}_{G_K}(W_A) = A$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on the length of A . When $A = E$, this is trivial. We assume that this lemma is proved for length n rings and assume A is length $n + 1$. We take a small extension $f : A \rightarrow A'$. Because $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = H^0(G_K, W^\vee \otimes W)$, then we have the following short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \otimes_E \text{End}_{G_K}(W) \rightarrow \text{End}_{G_K}(W_A) \rightarrow \text{End}_{G_K}(W_A \otimes_A A').$$

From this and induction hypothesis, we have $\text{length}(\text{End}_{G_K}(W_A)) \leq \text{length}(\text{End}_{G_K}(W_A \otimes_A A')) + \text{length}(\text{Ker}(f) \otimes_E \text{End}_{G_K}(W)) = \text{length}(A') + 1 = \text{length}(A)$. On the other hand, we have a natural inclusion $A \subseteq \text{End}_{G_K}(W_A)$. So, by comparing length, we have $A = \text{End}_{G_K}(W_A)$. □

Proof. (of proposition) Let W be a rank n E - B -pair satisfying $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$. For this W , we check the conditions (H_i) of Schlessinger's criterion. First, by Lemma 3.5, we have $\dim_E(D_W(E[\varepsilon])) = \dim_E(H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))) < \infty$, so (H_3) is satisfied. Next we check (H_1) . Let $f : A' \rightarrow A$, $g : A'' \rightarrow A$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_E$ such that g is surjective. Let $([W_{A'}], [W_{A''}])$ be an element in $D_W(A') \times_{D_W(A)} D_W(A'')$. We take deformations $W_{A'} := (W_{A'e}, W_{A'\text{dR}}^+)$, $W_{A''} := (W_{A''e}, W_{A''\text{dR}}^+)$

over A' and A'' contained in equivalent classes $[W_{A'}]$ and $[W_{A''}]$ respectively. Then we have an isomorphism $h : W_{A'} \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{A''} \otimes_{A''} A =: W_A := (W_{Ae}, W_{\text{AdR}}^+)$ which defines an equivalent class in $D_W(A)$. We fix a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $W_{A'e}$ as a $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A'$ -module and write $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ the basis of $W_{A'e} \otimes_{A'} A$ induced from $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Then, by the surjection of $g : A'' \rightarrow A$ and by A'' -flatness of $W_{A'e}$, we can take a basis $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$ of $W_{A''e}$ such that the basis $\tilde{\bar{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\bar{e}}_n$ of $W_{A''e} \otimes_{A''} A$ induced from $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$ satisfies $h(\tilde{\bar{e}}_i) = \tilde{\bar{e}}_i$ for any i . Then $W_e'' := W_{A'e} \times_{W_{Ae}} W_{A''e} := \{(x, y) \in W_{A'e} \times W_{A''e} | h(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}\}$ is a free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (A' \times_A A'')$ -module with basis $(e_1, \tilde{e}_1), \dots, (e_n, \tilde{e}_n)$. In the same way, we can define $W_{\text{dR}}''^+ := W_{A'\text{dR}}^+ \times_{W_{\text{AdR}}^+} W_{A''\text{dR}}^+$, which is a free $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (A' \times_A A'')$ -module. Then $W_{A'} \times_{W_A} W_{A''} := (W_e'', W_{\text{dR}}''^+)$ is a $(A' \times_A A'')$ - B -pair which is a deformation of W over $A' \times_A A''$ such that the equivalent class $[W_{A'} \times_{W_A} W_{A''}] \in D_W(A' \times_A A'')$ maps $([W_{A'}], [W_{A''}]) \in D_W(A') \times_{D_W(A)} D_W(A'')$. So we have proved (H_1) .

Finally, we prove the following. If $g : A'' \rightarrow A$ is surjective, then the natural map $D_W(A' \times_A A'') \rightarrow D_W(A') \times_{D_W(A)} D_W(A'')$ is bijective, which proves the condition (H_2) and (H_4) , so we can prove the pro-representability of D_W . Let W_1''', W_2''' be deformations of W over $A' \times_A A''$ such that $[W_1''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A'] = [W_2''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A']$ in $D_W(A')$ and $[W_1''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A''] = [W_2''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A'']$ in $D_W(A'')$. Then we want to show $[W_1'''] = [W_2''']$ in $D_W(A' \times_A A'')$. We put $W_{1A'} := W_1''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A'$, $W_{1A''} := W_1''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A''$, $W_{1A} := W_1''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A$, and same for $W_{2A'}, W_{2A''}, W_{2A}$. Then we have natural isomorphisms $W_1''' \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{1A'} \times_{W_{1A}} W_{1A''}$ and $W_2''' \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{2A'} \times_{W_{2A}} W_{2A''}$ defined as in the last paragraph. Because $[W_{1A'}] = [W_{2A'}]$ and $[W_{1A''}] = [W_{2A''}]$, we have isomorphisms $h' : W_{1A'} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{2A'}$ and $h'' : W_{1A''} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{2A''}$. By reduction of these isomorphisms, we get an automorphism $\bar{h}' \circ \bar{h}''^{-1} : W_{2A} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{1A} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{2A}$. Then, by Lemma 3.6 and the surjection of $g : A''^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, we can find an automorphism $\tilde{h} : W_{2A''} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{2A''}$ such that $\tilde{h} = \bar{h}' \circ \bar{h}''^{-1}$. Then, if we define a morphism $h''' : W_{1A'} \times_{W_{1A}} W_{1A''} \rightarrow W_{2A''} \times_{W_{2A}} W_{2A'} : (x, y) \mapsto (h_1(x), \tilde{h} \circ h_2(y))$, we can see that this is well-defined and is isomorphism. So we finish to prove this proposition. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $W := (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair of rank n . If $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = 0$, then the functor D_W is formally smooth.*

Proof. Let $A' \rightarrow A$ be a small extension in $\mathcal{C}_E$, we denote the kernel by $I \subseteq A'$. Let $W_A := (W_{e,A}, W_{\text{dR},A}^+)$ be a deformation of W over A . Then it suffices to show that there exists an A' - B -pair $W_{A'}$ such that $W_{A'} \otimes_{A'} A \xrightarrow{\sim} W_A$. We fix a basis of $W_{e,A}$ as a $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module. By this choice, from the G_K -action on $W_{e,A}$, we get a continuous one cocycle $\rho_e : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$. In the same way, if we fix a basis of $W_{\text{dR},A}^+$ as a $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module, we get a continuous cocycle $\rho_{\text{dR}} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$. From the canonical isomorphism $W_{e,A} \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\text{dR},A}^+ \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+} B_{\text{dR}}$, we get a matrix $P \in \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$ such that $P\rho_e(g)g(P)^{-1} =$

$\rho_{\text{dR}}(g)$ for any $g \in G_K$. We fix an E -linear section $s : A \rightarrow A'$ of $A' \rightarrow A$ and fix a lifting $\tilde{P} \in \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ of P . From this, we get continuous liftings $\tilde{\rho}_e := s \circ \rho_e : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ of ρ_e and $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}} := s \circ \rho_{\text{dR}} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ of ρ_{dR} . By using these liftings, we define $c_e : G_K \times G_K \rightarrow I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e)$ by $\tilde{\rho}_e(g_1 g_2) g_1 (\tilde{\rho}_e(g_2))^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_e(g_1)^{-1} = 1 + c_e(g_1, g_2) \in 1 + I \otimes_{A'} M_n(B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A') = 1 + I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G_K$. In the same way, define $c_{\text{dR}} : G_K \times G_K \rightarrow I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}^+, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ by $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}}(g_1 g_2) g_1 (\tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}}(g_2))^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}}(g_1)^{-1} = 1 + c_{\text{dR}}(g_1, g_2)$. We define $c : G_K \rightarrow I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{dR}})$ such that $\tilde{P} \tilde{\rho}_e(g) g (\tilde{P})^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}}(g)^{-1} = 1 + c(g) \in 1 + I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{dR}})$. Then c_e and c_{dR} are continuous two cocycles, i.e. satisfy $g_1 c_*(g_2, g_3) - c_*(g_1 g_2, g_3) + c_*(g_1, g_2 g_3) - c_*(g_1, g_2) = 0$ for any $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G_K$ ($*$ = e, dR). Moreover, we can check that c_e and c_{dR} and c satisfy $c_e(g_1, g_2) - c_{\text{dR}}(g_1, g_2) = g_1(c(g_2)) - c(g_1 g_2) + c(g_1)$ for any $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G_K$ (note that the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e) \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}^+, W_{\text{dR}}^+) \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+} B_{\text{dR}}$ is given by $c \mapsto \tilde{P}^{-1} c \tilde{P}$, where $\tilde{P} \in \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ is the reduction of $P \in \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$). By definition of Galois cohomology of B -pairs, these mean that (c_e, c_{dR}, c) defines an element $[(c_e, c_{\text{dR}}, c)]$ in $I \otimes_E H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$. (Until here, we don't use the condition $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = 0$ and we can show, in the standard way, that $[(c_e, c_{\text{dR}}, c)]$ doesn't depend on the choice of s or $\tilde{P}$, i.e. depends only on W_A .) Now, by the assumption, we have $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = 0$. So, by definition, there exists (f_e, f_{dR}, f) such that $f_e : G_K \rightarrow I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e)$ and $f_{\text{dR}} : G_K \rightarrow I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}^+, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ are continuous map and $f \in I \otimes_E \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{dR}})$ and these satisfy $c_e(g_1, g_2) = g_1 f_e(g_2) - f_e(g_1 g_2) + f_e(g_1)$ and $c_{\text{dR}}(g_1, g_2) = g_1 f_{\text{dR}}(g_2) - f_{\text{dR}}(g_1 g_2) + f_{\text{dR}}(g_1)$ and $c(g_1) = f_{\text{dR}}(g_1) - \tilde{P}^{-1} f_e(g_1) \tilde{P} + (g_1 f - f)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G_K$. Then we define new liftings $\rho'_e : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ by $\rho'_e(g) := (1 + f_e(g)) \tilde{\rho}_e(g)$, $\rho'_{\text{dR}}(g) : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ by $\rho'_{\text{dR}}(g) := (1 + f_{\text{dR}}(g)) \tilde{\rho}_{\text{dR}}(g)$ and $P' := (1 + f) \tilde{P} \in \text{GL}_n(B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$. Then we can check that these satisfy $\rho'_e(g_1 g_2) = \rho'_e(g_1) \rho'_e(g_2)$ and $\rho'_{\text{dR}}(g_1 g_2) = \rho'_{\text{dR}}(g_1) \rho'_{\text{dR}}(g_2)$ and $P' \rho'_e(g_1) g (P')^{-1} = \rho'_{\text{dR}}(g_1)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G_K$. By definition of A' - B -pair, this means that $(\rho'_e, \rho'_{\text{dR}}, P')$ defines an A' - B -pair and, by definition, this is a lift of W_A . We finish the proof of this proposition. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let W be an E - B -pair of rank n . If $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$ and $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = 0$ and if we denote $d := [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]n^2 + 1$, then the functor D_W is pro-representable by R_W such that $R_W \xrightarrow{\sim} E[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$.*

Proof. The existence and formally smoothness of R_W follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.7. For dimension, by Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 3.5, we have $\dim_E D_W(E[\varepsilon]) = \dim_E H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]n^2 + \dim_E H^0(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) + \dim_E H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]n^2 + 1$. $\square$

3.2. Trianguline deformations of trianguline B -pairs. In this subsection, we define the trianguline deformation functor for split trianguline E - B -pairs and

prove the pro-representability and formally smoothness under some conditions and calculate the dimension of the universal deformation ring of this functor. In § 2 of [Bel-Ch 09], Bellaïche-Chenevier proved all these in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ by using (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring and by using Colmez's theory of trianguline representations for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ([Co08]). We generalize their theory by using B -pairs and the theory of trianguline representations for any p -adic fields ([Na09] or § 2).

First, we define the notion of split trianguline A - B -pairs as follows.

Definition 3.9. Let W be a rank n A - B -pairs. Then we say that W is a split trianguline A - B -pair if there exists a sequence of sub A - B -pairs $\mathcal{T} : 0 = W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ such that W_i is saturated in W_{i+1} and the quotient W_{i+1}/W_i is a rank one A - B -pair for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

By Proposition 2.15, there exist continuous homomorphisms $\delta_i : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ such that $W_i/W_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the parameter of triangulation $\mathcal{T}$.

Next, we define the trianguline deformation functor. Let W be a rank n split trianguline E - B -pair. We fix a triangulation $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ of W . Under this condition, we define the trianguline deformation as follows.

Definition 3.10. Let A be an object in $\mathcal{C}_E$. We say that $(W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A)$ is a trianguline deformation of $(W, \mathcal{T})$ over A if (W_A, ι) is a deformation of W over A and W_A is a split trianguline A - B -pair with a triangulation $\mathcal{T}_A : 0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n,A} = W_A$ such that $\iota(W_{i,A} \otimes_A E) = W_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $(W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A)$ and $(W'_A, \iota', \mathcal{T}'_A)$ be two trianguline deformations of $(W, \mathcal{T})$ over A . Then we say that $(W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A)$ and $(W'_A, \iota', \mathcal{T}'_A)$ are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism of A - B -pairs $f : W_A \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_A$ satisfying $\iota = \iota' \circ \bar{f}$ and $f(W_{i,A}) = W'_{i,A}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 3.11. Let W be a split trianguline E - B -pair with a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$. Then we define the trianguline deformation functor $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ from the category $\mathcal{C}_E$ to the category of sets by defining, for any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A) := \{ \text{equivalent classes } (W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A) \text{ of trianguline deformation of } (W, \mathcal{T}) \text{ over } A \}$.

By definition, we have a natural map of functors from $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ to D_W by forgetting triangulation, i.e. by defining $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A) \rightarrow D_W(A) : [(W_A, \iota, \mathcal{T}_A)] \mapsto [(W_A, \iota)]$. In general, by this map, $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ is not a sub functor of D_W , i.e. a deformation W_A can have many liftings of triangulation of $\mathcal{T}$. So we give a sufficient condition for $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ to be a subfunctor of D_W . Let $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the parameter of triangulation $\mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 3.12. *If, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have $\delta_j/\delta_i \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, then the functor $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ is a sub functor of D_W .*

Proof. Let W_A be a deformation of W over A , let $0 \subseteq W_{A,1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{A,n-1} \subseteq W_A$ and $0 \subseteq W'_{A,1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W'_{A,n-1} \subseteq W_A$ be two triangulations which are lifts of $\mathcal{T}$, then it suffices to show that $W_{A,i} = W'_{A,i}$ for any i . Moreover, by induction, it suffices to show $W_{A,1} = W'_{A,1}$. For proving this, first we consider

$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$. This is equal to $H^0(G_K, W_{1,A}^\vee \otimes W_A)$. Because $H^0(G_K, -)$ is left exact and $H^0(G_K, W(\delta)) = 0$ for any $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\delta \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ by Proposition 2.9, we have $H^0(G_K, W_{1,A}^\vee \otimes (W_{i+1,A}/W_{i,A})) = H^0(G_K, W_{1,A}^\vee \otimes (W'_{i+1,A}/W'_{i,A})) = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. So we get $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_{1,A}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W'_{1,A})$. This means that the given inclusion $W_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$ factors through $W'_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$. By symmetry, the inclusion $W'_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$ factors through $W_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$. So we get $W_{1,A} = W'_{1,A}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.13. *Let W be a trianguline representations with a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ such that the parameter $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\delta_j/\delta_i \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then the natural map of functors $D_{W,\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow D_W$ is relatively representable.*

Proof. By §23 of [Ma97], it suffices to check that the map $D_{W,\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow D_W$ satisfies the following three conditions (1), (2), (3). (1): For any map $A \rightarrow A'$ in $\mathcal{C}_E$ and $W_A \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A)$, then $W_A \otimes_A A' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A')$. (2): For any maps $A' \rightarrow A$ and $A'' \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{C}_E$ and $W''' \in D_W(A' \times_A A'')$, if $W''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A')$ and $W''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A'' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A'')$, then $W''' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A' \times_A A'')$. (3): For any inclusion $A \hookrightarrow A'$ in $\mathcal{C}_E$ and $W_A \in D_W(A)$, if $W_A \otimes_A A' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A')$, then $W_A \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A)$. The condition (1) is trivial. For (2), let $W''' \in D_W(A' \times_A A'')$ be such that $W_{A'} := W''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A')$ and $W_{A''} := W''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A'' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A'')$. We put $W_A := W''' \otimes_{A' \times_A A''} A$. Then as in proof of Proposition 3.4, we have $W''' \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{A'} \times_{W_A} W_{A''}$. And, by Lemma 3.12, the triangulations of W_A induced from $W_{A'}$ and $W_{A''}$ are same, so these triangulations induce a triangulation of $W''' \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{A'} \times_{W_A} W_{A''}$, i.e. $W''' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A' \times_A A'')$. Finally, we prove the condition (3). Let $W \in D_W(A)$ and $A \hookrightarrow A'$ be an inclusion such that $W_A \otimes_A A' \in D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(A')$. Let $0 \subseteq W_{1,A'} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A'} \subseteq W_A \otimes_A A'$ be a triangulation lifting of $\mathcal{T}$. By induction on rank of W , it suffices to show that there exists a rank one sub A - B -pair $W_{1,A} \subseteq W_A$ such that $W_{1,A} \otimes_A A' = W_{1,A'}$ and that $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is an A - B -pair. By Proposition 2.15, by twisting, we may assume that $W_{1,A'} \xrightarrow{\sim} (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A', B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A')$ is trivial A' - B -pair (so $\delta_1 : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is trivial homomorphism). Then, by the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.12, we have $A' \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(G_K, W_{1,A'}) = H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A')$ and $E = H^0(G_K, W_1) = H^0(G_K, W)$. Then, by using these and the left exactness of $H^0(G_K, -)$, we have $\mathrm{length}(A') = \mathrm{length}(H^0(G_K, W_{A'})) \leq \mathrm{length}(H^0(G_K, W_A)) + \mathrm{length}(H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes (A'/A))) \leq \mathrm{length}(A) + \mathrm{length}(A'/A) = \mathrm{length}(A')$. So we have $\mathrm{length}(H^0(G_K, W_A)) = \mathrm{length}(A)$. From this, by similar argument, we can show the natural sequence $0 \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A m_A) \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W_A) \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. We take a lift $v \in H^0(G_K, W_A)$ which maps to $1 \in E \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(G_K, W)$ by the surjection $H^0(G_K, W_A) \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W)$. Then we claim that $A \xrightarrow{\sim} Av = H^0(G_K, W_A)$. Because the surjection $H^0(G_K, W_A) \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W)$ factors through $H^0(G_K, W_A) \hookrightarrow H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A') \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W)$ and $H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A') \xrightarrow{\sim} A'$, the image of v in $H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A')$ must be a generator of $H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A')$.

So we have $A \xrightarrow{\sim} Av \subseteq H^0(G_K, W_A)$. By comparing length, we get the claim. By using this $v \in H^0(G_K, W_A) = \text{Hom}_{G_K}(B_A, W_A)$ (here B_A is the trivial A - B -pair), we define a map $v : B_A \rightarrow W_A$. Then $v \otimes \text{id}_{A'} : B'_A \rightarrow W'_A$ induces an isomorphism $B'_A \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{1,A'}$ because $H^0(G_K, W_{1,A'}) = H^0(G_K, W_A \otimes_A A')$. From this, v is injective and if we put $W_{1,A} \subseteq W_A$ the image of v , then $W_{1,A} \otimes_A A' = W_{1,A'}$. Finally, we need to prove that the quotient $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is an A - B -pair. First, $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is an E - B -pair because $W_{1,A}$ is saturated in $W_A \otimes_A A'$ as an E - B -pair, so also saturated in W_A . For proving A -flatness of $W_A/W_{1,A} := (W_{0,e}, W_{0,\text{dR}}^+)$, by definition of v , we have that the natural map $W_{1,A} \otimes_A E \hookrightarrow W_A \otimes_A E$ is injective. From this, we get A -flatness of $W_{0,e}$ and $W_{0,\text{dR}}^+/tW_{0,\text{dR}}^+$, so $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is an A - B -pair. We finish to prove this proposition. $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Let W be a trianguline E - B -pair with a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$ and the parameter $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\delta_j/\delta_i \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then the functor $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ is pro-representable by a quotient $R_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ of R_W .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.13. $\square$

Next, we prove the formally smoothness of the functor $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$.

Proposition 3.15. *Let W be a trianguline E - B -pair of rank n with triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ such that whose parameter $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\delta_i/\delta_j \neq |\text{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)| \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then the functor $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ is formally smooth.*

Proof. We prove this proposition by induction of rank of W . When W is rank one, then we have $D_{W,\mathcal{T}} = D_W$ and $\text{ad}(W) = B_E$ is the trivial E - B -pair. So $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = 0$ by Proposition 2.9. So, by Proposition 3.7, $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ is formally smooth in this case. Let's assume that the proposition is proved for rank $n-1$ E - B -pairs, let W be a rank n E - B -pair with triangulation $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ whose parameter $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfying the condition as above. Let $A' \rightarrow A$ be a small extension in $\mathcal{C}_E$ and let W_A be a trianguline deformation of $(W, \mathcal{T})$ with triangulation $\mathcal{T}_A : 0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A} \subseteq W_{n,A} = W_A$ which is a lift of $\mathcal{T}$. It suffices to prove that there exists a split trianguline A' - B -pair $W_{A'}$ with a triangulation $0 \subseteq W_{1,A'} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A'} \subseteq W_{n,A'} = W_{A'}$ lifting of W_A and $\mathcal{T}_A$. We take a lifting as follows. First, because W_{n-1} is a trianguline E - B -pair of rank $n-1$ satisfying the conditions as above, so by induction hypothesis, there exists a rank $n-1$ trianguline A' - B -pair $W_{n-1,A'}$ with a triangulation $0 \subseteq W_{1,A'} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-2,A'} \subseteq W_{n-1,A'}$ which is a lift of $W_{n-1,A}$ and $0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A}$. If we put $\text{gr}_n W_A := W_A/W_{n-1,A}$, then, by rank one case and by Proposition 2.15, there exists a continuous homomorphism $\delta_{n,A'} : K^\times \rightarrow A'^\times$ such that the rank one A' - B -pair $W(\delta_{n,A'})$ satisfies $W(\delta_{n,A'}) \otimes_{A'} A = W(\delta_{n,A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_n W_A$. (where $\delta_{n,A} : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ is the reduction of $\delta_{n,A'}$.) Then $[W_A]$ is an element

in $\text{Ext}^1(W(\delta_{n,A}), W_{n-1,A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, W_{n-1,A}(\delta_{n,A}^{-1}))$. If we take the long exact sequence associated to

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_E W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}) \rightarrow W_{n-1,A'}(\delta_{n,A'}^{-1}) \rightarrow W_{n-1,A}(\delta_{n,A}^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

(where $I \subseteq A'$ is the kernel of $A' \rightarrow A$), then we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^1(G_K, W_{n-1,A'}(\delta_{n,A'}^{-1})) &\rightarrow H^1(G_K, W_{n-1,A}(\delta_{n,A}^{-1})) \\ &\rightarrow I \otimes_E H^2(G_K, W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1})) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By assumption on $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and by Proposition 2.9, we have $H^2(G_K, W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1})) = 0$. So we can take a $[W_{A'}] \in \text{Ext}^1(W(\delta_{n,A'}), W_{n-1,A'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, W_{n-1,A'}(\delta_{n,A'}^{-1}))$ which is a trianguline lift of $[W_A]$. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Next, we calculate the dimension of $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$. For this, we interpret $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(E[\varepsilon])$ in terms of Galois cohomology of B -pair as in Lemma 3.5. Let W be a trianguline E - B -pair with triangulation $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ whose parameter is $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then we define an E - B -pair $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)$ by $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W) := \{f \in \text{ad}(W) \mid f(W_i) \subseteq W_i \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let W be a trianguline E - B -pair. Then there exists a canonical bijection of sets $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W))$. In particular, if $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}$ has a canonical structure of E -vector space (c.f. the condition (2) in Shlessinger's criterion 3.3), then this bijection is an E -linear isomorphism.*

Proof. The construction of the map $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(E[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W))$ is same as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. We put $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W) := (\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W_e), \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W_{\text{dR}}^+))$. Let $W_{E[\varepsilon]} := (W_{e,E[\varepsilon]}, W_{\text{dR},E[\varepsilon]}^+)$ be a trianguline deformation of $(W, \mathcal{T})$ over $E[\varepsilon]$ whose triangulation $\mathcal{T}_{E[\varepsilon]}$ is $0 \subseteq W_{1,E[\varepsilon]} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,E[\varepsilon]} \subseteq W_{n,E[\varepsilon]} = W_{E[\varepsilon]}$. Then we can take a splitting $W_{e,E[\varepsilon]} = W_e e_1 \oplus W_e e_2$ as a filtered $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module such that $W_e e_1 = \varepsilon W_{e,E[\varepsilon]}$ and the natural map $W_e e_2 \hookrightarrow W_{e,E[\varepsilon]} \rightarrow W_{e,E[\varepsilon]}/\varepsilon W_{e,E[\varepsilon]} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_e$ is such that $ye_2 \mapsto y$ for any $y \in W_e$. Then, if we define $c_e : G_K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E}(W_e, W_e)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we can check that the image of c_e is contained in $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W_e)$. In the same way, we can define $c_{\text{dR}} : G_K \rightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ from a filtered splitting $W_{\text{dR},E[\varepsilon]}^+ = W_{\text{dR}}^+ e_1 \oplus W_{\text{dR}}^+ e_2'$. Moreover, we can define $c \in \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W_{\text{dR}})$ by $ye_2 = c(y)e_1 + ye_2'$ for any $y \in W_{\text{dR}}$. Then the map $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(E[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) : [(W_{E[\varepsilon]}, \mathcal{T}_{E[\varepsilon]})] \mapsto [(c_e, c_{\text{dR}}, c)]$ defines a bijection and, when $D_{W,\mathcal{T}}(E[\varepsilon])$ has a canonical E -vector space structure, this is an E -linear isomorphism. $\square$

We calculate the dimension of $R_{W,\mathcal{T}}$.

Proposition 3.17. *Let W be a trianguline E - B -pair of rank n such that $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$ whose triangulation $\mathcal{T} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1} \subseteq W_n = W$ satisfies the following. The parameter $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\delta_j/\delta_i \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $\delta_i/\delta_j \neq |\mathbb{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)| \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma}$ for any $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then the universal trianguline deformation ring $R_{W,\mathcal{T}}$*

is a quotient ring of R_W such that $R_{W,\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{\sim} E[[T_1, \dots, T_{d_n}]]$ where $d_n := \frac{n(n+1)}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.15 and Lemma 3.16, it suffices to show that $\dim_E H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = d_n$. We prove this by induction on rank n of W . When $n = 1$, then $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W) = \text{ad}(W) = B_E$, so the proposition follows from Proposition 2.9. Let W be a rank n trianguline E - B -pair as above, let $\mathcal{T}_{n-1} : 0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{n-2} \subseteq W_{n-1}$ be the triangulation of $W_{n-1} (\subseteq W)$. Then, by definition of $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)$, for any $f \in \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)$, the restriction of f to W_{n-1} is an element of $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}}(W_{n-1})$ and this defines a short exact sequence of E - B -pair,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W(\delta_n), W) \rightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W) \rightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}}(W_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

From this, we have $\text{rank}(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = \text{rank}(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}}(W_{n-1})) + n = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. From this and Theorem 2.8, it suffices to show that $H^0(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = E$ and $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = 0$. For H^0 , this follows from $E \subseteq H^0(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) \subseteq H^0(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) = E$. We prove $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = 0$ by induction of rank of W . When $n = 1$, this follows from Proposition 2.9. When W is rank n , then from the above short exact sequence, we have the following long exact sequence,

$$\dots \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{Hom}(W(\delta_n), W)) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{n-1}}(W_{n-1})) \rightarrow 0$$

Then, by Proposition 2.9 and by the assumption on $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we have $H^2(G_K, \text{Hom}(W(\delta_n), W)) = 0$. So, by induction hypothesis, we have $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{\mathcal{T}}(W)) = 0$. We finish the proof of this proposition. $\square$

4. DEFORMATIONS OF BENIGN B -PAIRS

In this final section, we study a very important class, called benign B -pairs, of trianguline E - B -pairs, which satisfies very good properties for trianguline deformations and plays a crucial role in Zariski density problem of modular Galois (or crystalline) representations in some deformation spaces of global (or local) p -adic representations. This class was defined by Kisin in the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and rank W is 2 in [Ki03] and [Ki08b]. He studied some deformation theoretic properties of this class in [Ki03] and used these in a crucial way in his proof of Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Bellaïche-Chenevier ([Bel-Ch09]) and Chenevier ([Ch08],[Ch09]) generalized this class for higher dimensional case and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ case, they defined and studied in detail crystalline representations with non-critical refinements. In particular, Chenevier ([Ch08],[Ch09]) discovered and proved a crucially important property concerning to tangent spaces of these deformation rings. (This was discovered by Kisin ([Ki08b]) implicitly when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and rank 2 case.) In fact, by using this property, Chenevier ([Ch08],[Ch09]) proved many types of theorems concerning Zariski density of modular Galois representations in some deformation spaces of global p -adic representations.

The aim of this section is to generalize this Chenevier's theorem to any K -case.

4.1. Benign B -pairs. Let $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ be a polynomial such that $P(X) \equiv \pi_K X \pmod{\deg 2}$ and that $P(X) \equiv X^q \pmod{\pi_K}$, where $q := p^f$ and $f := [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Then we take the Lubin-Tate's formal group $\mathcal{F}$ of K such that $[\pi_K] = P(X)$, where $[-] : \mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$. We put K_n is the Galois extension of K generated by $[\pi_K^n]$ -torsion points of $\mathcal{F}$ for any n , then we have a canonical isomorphism $\chi_{\text{LT},n} : \text{Gal}(K_n/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_K^\times / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K)^\times$. We put $K_{\text{LT}} := \cup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$ and we put $G_n := \text{Gal}(K_n/K)$.

In [Ki08b] or [Ch09] etc, benign representation is defined as a special class of crystalline representations. But, as we show in the sequel, we can easily generalize the main theorem to some potentially crystalline representations. So, before defining benign representations, we first define the following class of potentially crystalline representations.

Definition 4.1. Let W be an E - B -pair. Then we say that W is crystabelline if $W|_{G_L}$ is a crystalline E - B -pair of G_L for a finite abel extension L of K .

Remark 4.2. Because a finite abel extension L of K is contained in $K_m L'$, where L' is a finite unramified extension of K , by using Hilbert 90, we can easily show that W is crystabelline if and only if $W|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline for some $m \geq 0$.

Let W be a crystabelline E - B -pair of rank n such that $W|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline for some m . Let $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) := (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W)^{G_{K_m}}$ be the rank n E -filtered (φ, G_m) -module over K . Then, because K_m is totally ramified over K , this is a free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank n . We take an embedding $\sigma : K_0 \hookrightarrow \bar{E}$, this defines a map $\sigma : K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \rightarrow \bar{E} : x \otimes y \rightarrow \sigma(x)y$. From this, we can define the σ -component $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma := D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E, \sigma} \bar{E}$, this has an $\bar{E}$ -linear φ^f -action and an $\bar{E}$ -linear G_m -action. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a solution in $\bar{E}$ (with multiplicities) of $\det_{\bar{E}}(T \cdot \text{id} - \varphi^f|_{D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma}) \in \bar{E}[T]$. Then, because φ^f and G_m -action commute, so any generalized φ^f -eigenvector spaces of $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma$ are preserved by the action of G_m . So we can take an $\bar{E}$ -basis $e_{1,\sigma}, \dots, e_{n,\sigma}$ of $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma$ such that, for any i , $e_{i,\sigma}$ is a generalized eigenvector of φ^f with generalized eigenvalue $\alpha_i \in \bar{E}^\times$ and G_m acts on $e_{i,\sigma}$ by a homomorphism $\tilde{\delta}_i : G_m \rightarrow \bar{E}^\times$. We change numbering of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ so that $e_{1,\sigma}, e_{2,\sigma}, \dots, e_{n,\sigma}$ gives a φ^f -Jordan decomposition of $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma$ by this order. Because $\{\sigma, \varphi^{-1}\sigma, \dots, \varphi^{-(f-1)}\sigma\} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K_0, \bar{E})$ and $\varphi^i : D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)_{\varphi^{-i}\sigma} : x \otimes y \mapsto \varphi^i(x) \otimes y$ for any $x \in D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$ and $y \in \bar{E}$, is an $\bar{E}[\varphi^f, G_m]$ -isomorphism, the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ doesn't depend on the choice of $\sigma : K_0 \hookrightarrow \bar{E}$. Then, if we put $e_i := e_{i,\sigma} + \varphi(e_{i,\sigma}) + \dots + \varphi^{f-1}(e_{i,\sigma}) \in D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_E \bar{E}$, we have $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_E \bar{E} = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bar{E} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_0 \otimes_E \bar{E} e_n$ such that the subspace $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bar{E} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bar{E} e_i$ is preserved by φ and G_m -action for any i . Moreover, if we take a sufficiently large finite extension E' of E , then we can take $e_i \in D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_E E'$

such that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_E E' = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_n$ and $\alpha_i \in E'$ for any i and $\tilde{\delta}_i : G_m \rightarrow E'^{\times}$.

By using these arguments, first we study a relation between crystabelline E - B -pairs and trianguline E - B -pairs.

Lemma 4.3. *Let W be an E - B -pair of rank n . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) W is crystabelline.
- (2) W is trianguline (i.e, there exists a finite extension E' of E such that $W \otimes_E E'$ is a split trianguline E' - B -pair) and potentially crystalline.

Proof. First we assume that W is crystabelline. Then, by the above argument, for a sufficiently large finite extension E' of E , we have $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \otimes_E E' = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_n$ as above. Then, for any i , $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E'e_i$ is a sub E' -filtered (φ, G_m) -module of $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W \otimes_E E')$. So, by Theorem 2.5, $W \otimes_E E'$ is split trianguline and potentially crystalline.

Next we assume that W is trianguline and potentially crystalline. By extending coefficient, we may assume that W is split trianguline. We take a triangulation $0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_n = W$ of W . Then, because sub objects and quotients of crystalline B -pairs are again crystalline, W_i and W_i/W_{i-1} are all potentially crystalline. Then, by Lemma 4.1 of [Na09], for sufficiently large m , $W_i/W_{i-1}|_{G_m}$ is crystalline. Then we claim that $W|_{G_m}$ is also crystalline. We prove this claim by induction on rank n of W . When $n = 1$, this is trivial. We assume that the claim is proved for $n - 1$ case. Then $W_{n-1}|_{G_m}$ is crystalline. If we put $W/W_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_n)$, we have $[W] \in H^1(G_K, W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}))$. By assumption, there exists a finite Galois extension L of K_m such that $[W]$ is contained in $\text{Ker}(H^1(G_K, W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1})) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} (W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}))_e))$. Then it suffices to prove that the natural map $H^1(G_{K_m}, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} (W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}))_e) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} (W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}))_e)$ is injective. By inflation restriction sequence, the kernel of this map is $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K_m), D_{\text{cris}}^L(W_{n-1}(\delta_n^{-1}))) = 0$. So $W|_{G_m}$ is crystalline, i.e. W is crystabelline. $\square$

From here, we consider a crystabelline E - B -pair W such that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_n$ such that $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_i$ is preserved by (φ, G_m) and $\varphi^f(e_i) = \alpha_i e_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in E^{\times}$ such that $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for any $i \neq j$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the n -th permutation group. Then, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, there is a filtration by E -filtered (φ, G_m) -modules on $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$, $\mathcal{F}_{\tau} : 0 \subseteq F_{\tau,1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{\tau,n-1} \subseteq F_{\tau,n} = D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$ such that $F_{\tau,i} := K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(i)}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ (the filtration on $F_{\tau,i}$ is induced from $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$). We put $\text{gr}_{\tau,i} D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W) := F_{\tau,i}/F_{\tau,i-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, by Theorem 2.5, there exists a filtration $\mathcal{T}_{\tau} : 0 \subseteq W_{\tau,1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{\tau,n-1} \subseteq W_{\tau,n} = W$ such that $W_{\tau,i}|_{G_m}$ is crystalline and $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_{\tau,i}) = F_{\tau,i}$. Then $W_{\tau,i}/W_{\tau,i-1}$ is a rank one crystabelline

E - B -pair such that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_{\tau,i}/W_{\tau,i-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{\tau,i} D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$. So, by Lemma 4.1 of [Na09] and by its proof, there exists $\{k_{(\tau,i),\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}$ and homomorphisms $\tilde{\delta}_i : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ satisfying $\tilde{\delta}_i|_{1+\pi_K^m \mathcal{O}_K} = 1$ and $\tilde{\delta}_i(\pi_K) = 1$, such that $W_{\tau,i}/W_{\tau,i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_{\alpha_{\tau(i)}} \tilde{\delta}_{\tau(i)} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_{(\tau,i),\sigma}})$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\delta_{\alpha_i} : K^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is the homomorphism such that $\delta_{\alpha_i}|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = 1$ and $\delta_{\alpha_i}(\pi_K) = \alpha_i$. Then, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, the set $\{k_{(\tau,1),\sigma}, k_{(\tau,2),\sigma}, \dots, k_{(\tau,n),\sigma}\}$ is independent of $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ because these numbers are σ -part of Hodge-Tate weights of W . We write this set (with multiplicities) by $\{k_{1,\sigma}, k_{2,\sigma}, \dots, k_{n,\sigma}\}$ such that $k_{1,\sigma} \geq k_{2,\sigma} \geq \dots \geq k_{n,\sigma}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$. Under these notations, we define the notion of benign E - B -pair as follows.

Definition 4.4. Let W be a rank n crystabelline E - B -pair as above. Then we say that W is a benign E - B -pair if the following conditions hold:

- (1) For any $i \neq j$, we have $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \neq 1, p^f, p^{-f}$.
- (2) For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $0 = k_{1,\sigma} > k_{2,\sigma} > \dots > k_{n-1,\sigma} > k_{n,\sigma}$.
- (3) For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $k_{(\tau,i),\sigma} = k_{i,\sigma}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We say that W is twisted benign if there exists a benign E - B -pair W' and $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $W \xrightarrow{\sim} W' \otimes W(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_\sigma})$. (i.e. we drop the condition $k_{1,\sigma} = 0$ in (2)).

Remark 4.5. By definition, if W is a twisted benign, then we have $W_{\tau,i}/W_{\tau,i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_{\alpha_{\tau(i)}} \tilde{\delta}_{\tau(i)} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{k_{i,\sigma}})$ for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 4.6. When $n = 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and W is crystalline, this definition is same as that of Kisin in [Ki08b]. When $n = 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, the condition (3) is induced from the other weaker condition that $\text{End}_{E[G_K]}(V) = E$. For the tangent space theorem (so for the application to Zariski density), the author thinks that this condition (3) is the most important and subtle condition to verify. But, in general case, i.e. $n \geq 3$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ case, or $n \geq 2$ and $K \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ case, it seems difficult to induce the condition (3) from some other weaker conditions. In [Ch09], Chenevier calls the condition (3) to be "generic".

Lemma 4.7. Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair. If W_1 is a saturated sub E - B -pair of W , then W_1 and W/W_1 are also twisted benign E - B -pairs.

Proof. This follows from the definition and the fact that sub objects and quotients of crystabelline E - B -pairs are crystabelline E - B -pairs. $\square$

4.2. Deformations of benign B -pairs.

Lemma 4.8. Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Then W satisfies $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$ and for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\mathcal{T}_\tau : 0 \subseteq W_{\tau,1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{\tau,n-1} \subseteq W_{\tau,n} = W$ satisfies all the condition in Proposition 3.17.

Proof. We prove $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$, other statements are trivial from (1) of Definition 4.4. We prove this by induction on n , the rank of W . If $n = 1$, $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) =$

$H^0(G_K, B_E) = E$. We assume that the lemma is proved for rank $n - 1$ case, let W be a rank n twisted benign E - B -pair. We take an element $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and consider the filtration $\mathcal{T}_\tau : 0 \subseteq W_{\tau,1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{\tau,n-1} \subseteq W_{\tau,n} = W$. Then, by the above lemma, $W_{\tau,n-1}$ is twisted benign of rank $n - 1$, so by induction hypothesis, we have $\text{End}_{G_K}(W_{\tau,n-1}) = E$. Let $f : W \rightarrow W$ be a non-zero morphism of E - B -pairs. Then, by definition of twisted benign B -pairs and by Proposition 2.9, we have $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{\tau,n-1}, W/W_{\tau,n-1}) = 0$. So $f(W_{\tau,n-1}) \subseteq W_{\tau,n-1}$. Because $\text{End}_{G_K}(W_{\tau,n-1}) = E$, we have $f|_{W_{\tau,n-1}} = a \cdot \text{id}_{W_{\tau,n-1}}$ for some $a \in E$. If $a = 0$, then $f : W \rightarrow W$ factors through a non-zero morphism $f' : W/W_{\tau,n-1} \rightarrow W$. Because $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W/W_{\tau,n-1}, W_{\tau,n-1}) = 0$ by definition and by Proposition 2.9, the natural map $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W/W_{\tau,n-1}, W) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_K}(W/W_{\tau,n-1}, W/W_{\tau,n-1}) = E$ is injective, so the composition of f' with the natural projection $W \rightarrow W/W_{\tau,n-1}$ induces an isomorphism $W/W_{\tau,n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W/W_{\tau,n-1}$. This implies that the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_{\tau,n-1} \rightarrow W \rightarrow W/W_{\tau,n-1} \rightarrow 0$ splits. Then we can choose a $\tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, such that $W_{\tau',1} = W/W_{\tau,n-1}$, and this τ' doesn't satisfy the condition (3) in the definition of benign B -pairs. It's contradiction. So the above a must not be zero. In this case, consider the map $f - a \cdot \text{id}_W \in \text{End}_{G_K}(W)$. Then the same argument as above implies that $f = a \cdot \text{id}_W$. So $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Then the functor D_W is pro-representable by R_W which is formally smooth of dimension $n^2[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the functor $D_{W,\tau}$ is pro-representable by a quotient $R_{W,\tau}$ of R_W which is formally smooth of dimension $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.17. $\square$

Next, we want to consider the relation between R_W and $R_{W,\tau}$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. In particular, we want to compare the tangent space of R_W and the sum of tangent spaces of $R_{W,\tau}$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. For this, first we need to recall the potentially crystalline deformation functor.

Definition 4.10. Let W be a potentially crystalline E - B -pair. Then we define potentially crystalline deformation functor D_W^{cris} which is a sub functor of D_W defined by, for any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$, $D_W^{\text{cris}}(A) := \{[W_A] \in D_W(A) | W_A \text{ is potentially crystalline}\}$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let W be a potentially crystalline E - B -pair. If $\text{End}_{G_K}(W) = E$, then D_W^{cris} is pro-representable by a quotient R_W^{cris} of R_W which is formally smooth of dimension equal to $\dim_E(D_{\text{dR}}(\text{ad}(W))/\text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(\text{ad}(W))) + \dim_E(H^0(G_K, \text{ad}(W)))$.*

Proof. For pro-representability, by Proposition 3.7, it suffices to relatively representability of $D_W^{\text{cris}} \hookrightarrow D_W$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.13. But in this case, the condition (1) and (2) is trivial and (3) follows from the fact that the sub object of potentially crystalline E - B -pair is again potentially crystalline. Formally smoothness follows from Proposition 3.1.2 and Lemma 3.2.1 of [Ki08a] by using deformations of filtered (φ, G_K) -modules. For dimension, we take a finite Galois

extension L of K such that $W|_{G_L}$ is crystalline. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, any $W_A \in D_W^{\text{cris}}(A)$ is crystalline when restricted to G_L . So it's easy to check that the map $D_W(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$ induces isomorphism $D_W^{\text{cris}}(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} \text{ad}(W)_e))$. Then again by the same way as in Lemma 4.3, the natural map $H^1(G_K, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} \text{ad}(W)_e) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} \text{ad}(W)_e)$ is injective. So we have isomorphism $D_W^{\text{cris}}(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} \text{ad}(W)_e))$. We can calculate the dimension of this group in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.7 [Na09]. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Then R_W^{cris} is formally smooth of dimension $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.8 and $\dim_E(D_{\text{dR}}(\text{ad}(W))/\text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(\text{ad}(W))) = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, which follows from the condition (2) in Definition 4.4. $\square$

Definition 4.13. Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n such that $W|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline. For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define a rank one saturated crystabelline E - B -pair $W'_\tau \subseteq W$ such that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W'_\tau) = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ee_{\tau(n)} \subseteq D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$. Then we define a sub functor $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$ of D_W by $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(A) := \{[W_A] \in D_W(A) \mid \text{there exists rank one crystabelline saturated sub } A\text{-}B\text{-pair } W'_A \subseteq W_A \text{ such that } W'_A \otimes_A E = W'_\tau\}$

Lemma 4.14. *Under the above condition. The functor $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$ is pro-representable by a quotient $R_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$ of R_W which is formally smooth and it's dimension is equal to $n(n-1)[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$.*

Proof. The proof of relatively representability of $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}} \hookrightarrow D_W$ and the proof of formally smoothness are easily followed from combination of proofs of Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.15 and Lemma 4.11. Here, we only prove dimension formula. Let $\text{ad}_\tau(W) := \{f \in \text{ad}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) \subseteq W'_\tau\}$. Then we have the following short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W) \rightarrow \text{ad}_\tau(W) \rightarrow \text{ad}(W'_\tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

From this, by taking long exact sequence and by using Proposition 2.9, we have the following short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W'_\tau)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We define $H_{f,\tau}^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$ by the inverse image of $H_f^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W'_\tau))$ in $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$. So we have a short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W)) \rightarrow H_{f,\tau}^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W)) \rightarrow H_f^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W'_\tau)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, in the same way as in Lemma 4.11, we can show that the natural map $D_W(E[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$ induces an isomorphism $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{f,\tau}^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$. By using Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.9, we can calculate that $\dim_E H^1(G_K, \text{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W)) = n(n-1)[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Because $\text{ad}(W'_\tau) = B_E$ is trivial, so we have $H_f^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W'_\tau)) = 1$ by Proposition 2.7 of [Na09]. So we have

$\dim_E H_{f,\tau}^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W)) = n(n-1)[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. This proves that $R_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$ is dimension $n(n-1)[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. $\square$

Lemma 4.15. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Let W_A be a deformation of W over A which is potentially crystalline, then $[W_A] \in D_{W,\tau_\tau}(A)$ and $[W_A] \in D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(A)$ for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

Proof. Let W_A be as above. If $W|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline, then $W_A|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline by the proof of Lemma 4.11. So it suffices to show that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_1 \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_n$ such that $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_i$ is preserved by (φ, G_m) and $\varphi^f(e_i) = \tilde{\alpha}_i e_i$ for a lift $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in A^\times$ of $\alpha_i \in E^\times$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. For proving this claim, first we note that $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A)$ is a free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module of rank n and $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A) \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W)$ by Proposition 1.3.4 and Proposition 1.3.5 [Ki09]. Then, for any $\sigma : K_0 \hookrightarrow E$, we can write $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A)_\sigma = Ae_{1,\sigma} \oplus \cdots \oplus Ae_{n,\sigma}$ such that $\varphi^f(e_{i,\sigma}) \equiv \alpha_i e_{i,\sigma} \pmod{m_A}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, by easy linear algebra, we can take an A -basis $e'_{1,\sigma}, e'_{2,\sigma}, \dots, e'_{n,\sigma}$ of $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A)_\sigma$ such that $\varphi^f(e'_{i,\sigma}) = \tilde{\alpha}_i e'_{i,\sigma}$ for a lift $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in A^\times$ of α_i for any i . Because φ and G_m commute and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$, so $Ae'_{i,\sigma}$ is preserved by G_m . If we take $e_i := e'_{i,\sigma} + \varphi(e'_{i,\sigma}) + \cdots + \varphi^{f-1}(e'_{i,\sigma}) \in D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A)$, then we have $D_{\text{cris}}^{K_m}(W_A) = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Ae_n$ satisfying the property of the claim. $\square$

Lemma 4.16. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $W|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline. Let $[W_A] \in D_{W,\tau_\tau}(A)$ be a trianguline deformation over A with a lifting of triangulation $0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq W_{2,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n,A} = W_A$. If $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ is Hodge-Tate for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $W_A|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline.*

Proof. First, we prove that $(W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A})|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weight $\{k_{i,\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$. Because $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ is written as successive extensions of $W_{\tau,i}/W_{\tau,i-1}$, $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ has Hodge-Tate weight $\{k_{i,\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$. Then, twisting W_A by a crystalline character $\delta_{\alpha_{\tau(i)}}^{-1} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{-k_{i,\sigma}} : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, we may assume that $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ is an étale Hodge-Tate A - B -pair of rank one with Hodge-Tate weight zero and is a deformation of étale potentially unramified E - B -pair $W(\tilde{\delta}_{\tau(i)})$. Then, by Sen's theorem ([Se73] or Proposition 5.24 of [Be02]), $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}$ is potentially unramified, so there exists $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ a unitary homomorphism such that $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$ has a finite image and $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta)$ and δ is a lift of $\tilde{\delta}_{\tau(i)}$. Then, because $(1 + m_A) \cap A_{\text{tor}}^\times = \{1\}$, $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \tilde{\delta}_{\tau(i)}|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, so $W_{i,A}/W_{i-1,A}|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline. Next, we prove that $W_A|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline by induction on rank W . When $n = 1$, we just have proved this. Assume that the lemma is proved for rank $n - 1$ case. Then $W_{n-1,A}|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline. If we put $W_A/W_{n-1,A} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(\delta_{A,n})$, then we have $[W_A] \in H^1(G_K, W_{n-1,A}(\delta_{A,n}^{-1}))$. By considering Hodge-Tate weight of W_A and condition (3) of Definition 4.4, we get $\text{Fil}^0 D_{\text{dR}}(W_{n-1,A}(\delta_{A,n}^{-1})) = 0$. By comparing

dimensions by using Proposition 2.7 of [Na09], we have $H_f^1(G_K, W_{A,n-1}(\delta_{A,n}^{-1})) = H^1(G_K, W_{A,n-1}(\delta_{A,n}^{-1}))$. So $W_A|_{G_{K_m}}$ is crystalline. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n and W_1 be a rank one crystabelline sub E - B -pair of W . Then the saturation $W_1^{sat} := (W_{1,e}^{sat}, W_{1,dR}^{+,sat})$ of W_1 in W is crystabelline and the natural map $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_1^{sat}, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_1, W)$ induced by natural inclusion $W_1 \hookrightarrow W_1^{sat}$ is isomorphism between one dimensional E -vector spaces.*

Proof. Because $W_{1,e} = W_{1,e}^{sat}$ by Lemma 1.14 of [Na09], so W_1^{sat} is crystabelline. By definition of twisted benign E - B -pairs, the Hodge-Tate weight of W_1^{sat} is $\{k_{1,\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$. Then consider the following short exact sequence of complexes of G_K -modules defined as in p.890 of [Na09],

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee) \rightarrow C^\bullet(W \otimes W_1^\vee) \rightarrow (W \otimes W_1^\vee)_{dR}^+ / (W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee)_{dR}^+[0] \rightarrow 0.$$

From this, we have the exact sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(G_K, W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee) &\rightarrow H^0(G_K, W \otimes W_1^\vee) \\ &\rightarrow H^0(G_K, (W \otimes W_1^\vee)_{dR}^+ / (W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee)_{dR}^+) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

By the condition (3) in Definition 4.4, we have $\dim_E H^0(G_K, (W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee)_{dR}^+) = \dim_E H^0(G_K, (W \otimes W_1^\vee)_{dR}^+) = n[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. So, by a usual argument of de Rham representations, we have $H^0(G_K, (W \otimes W_1^\vee)_{dR}^+ / (W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee)_{dR}^+) = 0$. So the map $H^0(G_K, W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(G_K, W \otimes W_1^\vee)$ is isomorphism. Finally, for dimension, by the condition (1) in Definition 4.4 and Proposition 2.9 of [Na09], we have $\dim_E H^0(G_K, W \otimes (W_1^{sat})^\vee) = 1$. These prove the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.18. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair and let W_A be a deformation of W over A . If there exists a rank one crystabelline sub A - B -pair $W_{1,A} \subseteq W_A$ such that $W_1 := W_{1,A} \otimes_A E \hookrightarrow W_A \otimes_A E$ remains injective. Then the saturation $W_{1,A}^{sat}$ of $W_{1,A}$ in W_A as an E - B -pair is a crystabelline A - B -pair and $W_A/W_{1,A}^{sat}$ is an A - B -pair and $W_{1,A}^{sat} \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1^{sat} (\subseteq W)$.*

Proof. First, by Proposition 2.14 of [Na09], there exists $\{l_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \in \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ such that $W_1^{sat} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1 \otimes W(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_\sigma})$. Then we claim that the inclusion $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_\sigma}), W_A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$ induced by the natural inclusion $W_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_\sigma})$ is isomorphism and that these groups are rank one free A -modules. By the same argument as in the last lemma, the cokernel of $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_\sigma}), W_A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$ is contained in $H^0(G_K, (W_A \otimes W_{1,A}^\vee)_{dR}^+ / (W_A \otimes W_{1,A}^\vee \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{-l_\sigma}))_{dR}^+)$. This is zero from the proof of the last lemma. So the natural inclusion $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_\sigma}), W_A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$ is isomorphism. Next, we prove, by induction on length of A , that $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$ is a free A -module of rank one.

When $A = E$, this claim is proved in the above Lemma 4.17. Assume that A is length n and, for a small extension $A \rightarrow A'$, assume that the claim is proved for $W_{A'} := W \otimes_A A'$. Put I the kernel of $A \rightarrow A'$, $W_{1,A'} := W_{1,A} \otimes_A A'$. From the following exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_E \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_1, W) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A'}, W_{A'})$$

and induction hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{length} \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A) \leq \operatorname{length} A$. On the other hand, the fact that given inclusion $\iota : W_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$ remains injective after tensoring E and the fact that $\dim_E \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_1, W) = 1$ and induction hypothesis imply that the map $A \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A) : a \mapsto a \cdot \iota$ is injective. So we have $\operatorname{length}(A) = \operatorname{length} \operatorname{Hom}_{G_K}(W_{1,A}, W_A)$. These prove the claim for A . From these claims, the given inclusion $\iota : W_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$ factors through a map $\tilde{\iota} : W'_{1,A} := W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma} \sigma(x)^{l_{\sigma}}) \rightarrow W_A$ and this map is injective because the injection of morphisms of B -pair determined from the injection of W_e -part of B -pairs and $W_{1,A,e} = (W_{1,A} \otimes W_A(\prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(x)^{l_{\sigma}}))_e$. Then we claim that this $\tilde{\iota}$ gives $W_{1,A}^{\text{sat}} \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_{1,A}$ and claim that this satisfies all the properties in this lemma. By induction on length A , we assume that this claim is proved for A' . First, we prove $W_A/W'_{1,A}$ is an E - B -pair. For proving this, by Lemma 2.1.4 of [Ber08], it suffices to show that $W_{A,\text{dR}}^+/W_{1,A,\text{dR}}'^+$ is a free B_{dR}^+ -module. By snake lemma, we have

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_E W_{\text{dR}}^+/W_{1,\text{dR}}^{\text{sat}+} \rightarrow W_{A,\text{dR}}^+/W_{1,A,\text{dR}}'^+ \rightarrow W_{A',\text{dR}}^+/(W_{1,A',\text{dR}}'^+ \otimes_A A') \rightarrow 0.$$

From this and induction hypothesis, $W_{A,\text{dR}}^+/W_{1,A,\text{dR}}'^+$ is a free B_{dR}^+ -module. Finally, we prove A -flatness of $W_A/W'_{1,A}$. This follows from the fact that the map $\tilde{\iota} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E : W'_{1,A} \otimes_A E \hookrightarrow W_A \otimes_A E$ is saturated (we can see this from the proof of the above first claim). So $W_A/W'_{1,A}$ is an A - B -pair. We finish the proof of this lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.19. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have $D_{W,\tau}(E[\epsilon]) + D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(E[\epsilon]) = D_W(E[\epsilon])$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.14, we have $\dim_E D_W(E[\epsilon]) + \dim_E D_W^{\text{cris}}(E[\epsilon]) = \dim_E D_{W,\tau}(E[\epsilon]) + \dim_E D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(E[\epsilon])$. So it suffices to show that $D_{W,\tau}(A) \cap D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(A) = D_W^{\text{cris}}(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{C}_E$. First, the fact that $D_W^{\text{cris}}(A) \subseteq D_{W,\tau}(A) \cap D_{W,\tau}(A)$ follows from Lemma 4.15.

We prove that $D_{W,\tau}(A) \cap D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(A) \subseteq D_W^{\text{cris}}(A)$ by induction on rank n of W . When $n = 1$, this is trivial. Let W be rank n and assume that the lemma is proved for rank $n - 1$. Let $[W_A] \in D_{W,\tau}(A) \cap D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}(A)$. Then, by definition of $D_{W,\tau}$ and $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$, there exists an A -triangulation $0 \subseteq W_{1,A} \subseteq W_{2,A} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{n-1,A} \subseteq W_{n,A} = W_A$ such that $W_{i,A} \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\tau,i}$ for any i and there exists saturated crystabelline rank one A - B -pair $W'_{1,A} \subseteq W_A$ such that $W'_{1,A} \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_{\tau}$. First, we claim that the composition of $W'_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$ and $W_A \rightarrow W_A/W_{1,A}$ is injective. By snake lemma, $\operatorname{Ker}(W'_{1,A} \rightarrow W_A/W_{1,A})$ is a sub E - B -pair of $W_{1,A}$.

By the condition (1) of Definition 4.4 and by Proposition 2.14 of [Na09], we have $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(\text{Ker}(W'_{1,A} \rightarrow W_A/W_{1,A}), W_{1,A}) = 0$, so $W'_{1,A} \rightarrow W_A/W_{1,A}$ is injective. Then, by Lemma 4.18, the saturation $(W'_{1,A})^{\text{sat}}$ of $W'_{1,A}$ in $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is a rank one crystabelline A - B -pair satisfying the same conditions as those of $W'_{1,A} \hookrightarrow W_A$. So, by induction hypothesis, $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is crystabelline. Then, by the condition (3) of Definition 4.4, Hodge-Tate weight of $W_A/W_{1,A}$ is $\{k_{2,\sigma}, k_{3,\sigma}, \dots, k_{n,\sigma}\}_\sigma$ (with multiplicity $[A : E]$). Moreover, by (3) of Definition 4.4, crystabelline $W'_{1,A}$ has Hodge-Tate weight $\{k_{1,\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}}$ (with multiplicity). Because $k_{1,\sigma} \neq k_{i,\sigma}$ for any $i \neq 1$ and there is no extension between different Hodge-Tate weight objects by a theorem of Tate, these imply that W_A is a Hodge-Tate E - B -pair. So, by Lemma 4.16, W_A is crystabelline. So we have that $[W_A] \in D_W^{\text{cris}}(A)$. $\square$

The following is the main theorem of this article, which is a crucial theorem for applications to Zariski density. This theorem was discovered by Chenevier ([Che08], [Ch09]) for any rank case when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Definition 4.20. For $R = R_W$ or $R_{W,\tau}$, we put $t(R) := \text{Hom}_E(m_R/m_R^2, E)$ the tangent space of R . Then, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have a canonical inclusion $t(R_{W,\tau}) \hookrightarrow t(R_W)$.

Theorem 4.21. *Let W be a twisted benign E - B -pair of rank n . Then we have*

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t(R_{W,\tau}) = t(R_W).$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on n . When $n = 1$, then the theorem is trivial. Assume that the theorem is true for rank $n - 1$ case. Let W be a rank n twisted benign E - B -pair. We take an element $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then we consider the sub functor $D_{W,\tau}$ of D_W by $D_{W,\tau}(A) := \{[W_A] \in D_W(A) \mid \text{there exists a rank one sub } A\text{-}B\text{-pair } W_{1,A} \subseteq W_A \text{ such that the quotient } W_A/W_{1,A} \text{ is an } A\text{-}B\text{-pair and } W_{1,A} \otimes_A E \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_\tau\}$ (W'_τ is defined in Definition 4.13). Then $D_{W,\tau}^{\text{cris}}$ is a sub functor of $D_{W,\tau}$ and we can show that $D_{W,\tau}(E[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$, here $\text{ad}_\tau(W) := \{f \in \text{ad}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) \subseteq W'_\tau\}$. So, by Lemma 4.19, we have $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W)) + H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\tau'}(W)) = H^1(G_K, \text{ad}(W))$. Because, for any $\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n} := \{\tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \tau'(1) = \tau(n)\}$, we have $D_{W,\tau'} \subseteq D_{W,\tau}$. So we have natural maps $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\tau'}(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$. Then it suffices to prove that the map $\bigoplus_{\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{\tau'}(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \text{ad}_\tau(W))$ is surjective. We prove this surjection as follows. First, by definition, we have the following short exact sequences of E - B -pairs for any $\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}$,

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W) \rightarrow \text{ad}_\tau(W) \rightarrow \text{ad}(W'_\tau) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \{f \in \text{ad}_{\tau'}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) = 0\} \rightarrow \text{ad}_{\tau'}(W) \rightarrow \text{ad}(W'_\tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W'_\tau) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(W/W'_\tau) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W'_\tau) \rightarrow \{f \in \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) = 0\} \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}}(W/W'_\tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, for any $\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}$, we put $\mathcal{T}_{\tau'} : 0 \subseteq W_{\tau',2}/W'_\tau \subseteq W_{\tau',3}/W'_\tau \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{\tau',n-1}/W'_\tau \subseteq W/W'_\tau$ the induced triangulation from $\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}$ on W/W'_τ . We have $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W'_\tau)) = 0$ by the condition (1) of Definition 4.4 and Proposition 2.9. We have $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_\tau}(W)) = 0$ from the proof of Proposition 3.17. So from the short exact sequence (4) above, we have $H^2(G_K, \{f \in \mathrm{ad}_{\tau'}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) = 0\}) = 0$. From this and from (1) and (2) above, for proving the surjection of $\oplus_{\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}} H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}}(W)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_\tau(W))$, it suffices to prove the surjection of $\oplus_{\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}} H^1(G_K, \{f \in \mathrm{ad}_{\tau'}(W) \mid f(W'_\tau) = 0\}) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W))$. By (3) and (4) above and by $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{Hom}(W/W'_\tau, W'_\tau)) = 0$, this surjection follows from the surjection of $\oplus_{\tau' \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau,n}} H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{T}_{\tau'}}(W/W'_\tau)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}(W/W'_\tau))$, which is the induction hypothesis. So we have finished the proof of this theorem $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Bel-Ch09] J.Bellaïche, G.Chenevier, Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups , Astérisque 324 (2009).
- [Be02] L.Berger, Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), 219-284.
- [Be07] L.Berger, Construction de (φ, Γ) -modules: représentations p -adiques et B -paires, Algebra and Number Theory, 2 (2008), no. 1, 91–120.
- [Ch08] G.Chenevier, Cours Peccot, april 2008.
- [Ch09] G.Chenevier, On the infinite fern of Galois representations of unitary type, arXiv:0911.5726v1.
- [Co08] P.Colmez, Représentations triangulines de dimension 2, Astérisque 319 (2008), 213-258.
- [Co09] P.Colmez, Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ) -modules, preprint, 2009.
- [Fo94] J.-M. Fontaine, Le corps des périodes p -adiques, Astérisque 223 (1994), 59-111.
- [Ke04] K.Kedlaya, A p -adic local monodromy theorem, Ann. of Math. (2) 160 (2004), 93-184.
- [Ke08] K.Kedlaya, Slope filtrations for relative Frobenius, Astérisque 319 (2008), 259-301.
- [Ki03] M.Kisin, Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, Invent. Math. 153 (2003), 373-454.
- [Ki08a] M.Kisin, Potentially semi-stable deformation rings, J.AMS, 21 (2) (2008), 513-546.
- [Ki08b] M.Kisin, Deformations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ representations, preprint, 2008.
- [Ki09] M.Kisin, Moduli of finite flat group schemes and modularity, Ann. of Math. 170 (3) (2009), 1085-1180.
- [Ma97] B.Mazur, An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem, Springer Verlag (1997), 243-311.
- [Na09] K.Nakamura, Classification of two dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic fields, Compositio Math. 145 (2009), 865-914.
- [Sch68] M.Schlessinger, Functors on Artin rings, Trans. A.M.S. 130 (1968), 208-222.
- [Se73] S.Sen, Lie algebras of Galois groups arising from Hodge-Tate modules, Ann. of Math. 97 (1) (1973), 160-170.